

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
ANDREW WHEELER, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. [20-cv-03005-RS](#)

**ORDER DENYING MOTION TO
EXTEND BRIEFING SCHEDULE AND
CONTINUE HEARING DATE**

Under all the circumstances, the interest in deciding the motion for preliminary injunction prior to the effective date of the rule being challenged outweighs the factors that would support the extension of the briefing schedule and continuance of the hearing date requested by defendants, and defendants have not established that they will be unduly burdened or prejudiced by being required to file opposition on the schedule provided by the Local Civil Rules. Defendants' motion is therefore denied. In the event defendants elect to postpone the effective date of the rule, however, the parties will be expected to stipulate to a reasonable extension of the briefing schedule. The parties are advised that pursuant to General Order 72-3 the hearing in this matter will be conducted via videoconference.

1 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

2
3 Dated: May 22, 2020

4 
5 RICHARD SEEBORG
6 United States District Judge
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
Northern District of California