

**Alaska Wilderness League * American Bird Conservancy
Center for Biological Diversity * Defenders of Wildlife
Friends of the Sonoran Desert * Grand Canyon Trust
GreenLatinos * League of Conservation Voters
Los Padres Forest Watch * National Parks Conservation Association
National Trust for Historic Preservation * Natural Resources Defense Council
Partnership for the National Trails System * Sierra Club
The Nature Conservancy
The Wilderness Society * Wilderness Workshop**

February 6, 2017

Dear Representative,

On behalf of our millions of members and supporters, we urge you to **Vote NO on H.J. Res. 44**, the Congressional Review Act (CRA) resolution to rescind the Bureau of Land Management's (BLM) Planning 2.0 regulation. This resolution is an extreme and unnecessary response to a sensible and overdue rule.

H.R. Res. 44 would invalidate a new, collaborative, science-based approach to land use planning that boosts public engagement, improves administrative efficiency, and increases responsiveness in planning on our largest public land system. It allows managers to move beyond an outdated 30 year-old process to better address pressing challenges posed by critical issues, such as wildfire, invasive species and increased demand for domestic energy. More specifically, this new guidance:

- **Increases efficiency and public participation in planning.** The BLM rule will save taxpayer dollars, shorten planning times, and avoid disputes by investing time upfront to collaborate with locals and stakeholders on prospective management strategies. Public voices will help develop plans with improved opportunities for participation, new electronic options for submitting input, and updated processes for filing plan protests—improving the likelihood that the plans meet Americans' broad array of conservation and resource needs.
- **Preserves priority status for local government in planning.** The new rule carefully preserves a priority role for local government and other cooperators in BLM planning processes as directed by Congress, ensuring that final plans consider local and regional perspectives and priorities.
- **Increases transparency in planning.** The rule will prevent closed door decision making between the BLM and special interests by updating guidance that provides the American people the ability to participate in the planning process at all stages.
- **Improves science-based decision making in planning.** High quality data will be a foundation for BLM planning and management. Planning 2.0 will incorporate current science, geospatial data and technology to evaluate landscapes at the regional level. These changes will enable faster response to today's environmental, economic and social realities with new evaluation markers and agency flexibility to plan across traditional administrative boundaries, keeping our lands great places to hike, hunt, and fish.

- **Supports sporting pursuits on BLM lands.** Hunters and anglers support Planning 2.0 because the rule takes steps to ensure that important habitats, such as migration corridors and other intact habitats, are identified early in the planning process so these important areas can be managed and conserved as the agency makes decisions about other public land uses.

Overturning this common sense rule will relegate hundreds of millions of acres of public lands to planning under an out-of-date rule that has not been substantially changed since 1983. The public will lose opportunities to participate in how these public lands—owned by all Americans—should be managed. Without the new rule, public land management will continue to be contentious, inefficient and costly.

Finally, if the rule is struck down by the CRA, the BLM could be prohibited from issuing a similar rule in the future, preventing the agency from modernizing its land use planning regulation to adequately address contemporary issues like energy development, grazing, wildlife, mining, conservation, recreation, cultural resources protection or any of the many multiple uses that occur on our public lands.

Planning 2.0 is a sensible and much needed rule that updates an antiquated process that limited management decisions to outdated concepts of resource planning, and instead creates a framework to support more inclusive, comprehensive planning and management on our public lands. We urge you to stand up to protect the new planning rule and to **Vote NO on H.J. Res. 44.**

Sincerely,

Alaska Wilderness League
 American Bird Conservancy
 Center for Biological Diversity
 Defenders of Wildlife
 Friends of the Sonoran Desert
 Grand Canyon Trust
 GreenLatinos
 League of Conservation Voters
 Los Padres ForestWatch
 National Parks Conservation Association
 National Trust for Historic Preservation
 Natural Resources Defense Council
 Partnership for the National Trails System
 Sierra Club
 The Nature Conservancy
 The Wilderness Society
 Wilderness Workshop