

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DOUGLAS O'CONNOR, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. [13-cv-03826-EMC](#)
RELATED CASES
Case No. [14-cv-05200-EMC](#)
Case No. [15-cv-00262-EMC](#)
Case No. [15-cv-03667-EMC](#)
Case No. [16-cv-03134-EMC](#)

IN RE UBER FCRA LITIGATION

ORDER RE STAYS

HAKAN YUCESAY,
Plaintiff,
v.
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,
Defendants.

RICARDO DEL RIO, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,
Defendants.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


TODD JOHNSTON,
Plaintiff,
v.
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
Defendant.

As indicated at hearing and for the reasons stated therein, each of these five cases is temporarily **STAYED** until the next Case Management Conference, set for February 2, 2017, at 11:00 a.m. The parties shall meet and confer and submit, under seal if appropriate, any information regarding the pendency of possible settlement in *Steven Price v. Uber Technologies, Inc.*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC554512, as may affect the cases before this Court, by November 28, 2016. The present stay shall be without prejudice to further review by this Court, in light of such information, of the *O'Connor* Plaintiffs' pending motion to amend their pleading to add PAGA claims to this case.

If the parties in *In re Uber FCRA Litigation* finalize their settlement, they may submit it to the Court notwithstanding the stay.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 21, 2016


EDWARD M. CHEN
United States District Judge