# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FT. MYERS DIVISION

LESLY METHELUS, on behalf of Y.M.,	)
a minor; ROSALBA ORTIZ, on behalf of G.O.,	)
a minor; ZOILA LORENZO, on behalf of M.D.,	)
a minor; MARIE ANGE JOSEPH, on behalf of	)
K.V., a minor; EMILE ANTOINE, on behalf	)
of N.A., a minor; LUCENIE HILAIRE	)
DUROSIER, on behalf of T.J.H., a minor; on	)
behalf of themselves and all others similarly	)
situated,	) Civil Case No.
	) 2:16-cv-00379-SPC-MRM
Plaintiffs,	)
	)
V.	)
	)
THE SCHOOL BOARD OF COLLIER	)
COUNTY, FLORIDA, and KAMELA PATTON,	)
Superintendent of Collier County Public Schools,	)
in her official capacity,	)
	)
Defendants.	)
	)

# FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND FOR DAMAGES

1. Plaintiffs are parents or guardians of Y.M., G.O., M.D., K.V., N.A., and T.J.H., English Language Learner ("ELL") immigrant children who recently arrived in the United States and reside in Collier County, Florida.

Y.M., G.O., M.D., K.V., N.A., and T.J.H. ("Plaintiff Children") seek relief from this Court because they have been denied equal access to educational

opportunities as a result of Defendants' custom, policy, and practice of excluding them and those similarly situated from enrollment in public schools.

- 2. These immigrant children seek to enroll in Collier County Public Schools to further their education, but are excluded from a free public education due to the actions of Defendants, the School Board of Collier County, Florida, and Superintendent Kamela Patton, in her official capacity ("Defendants").
- 3. When Plaintiff Children and their guardians sought enrollment in public school, Defendants in some cases directed them to noncredit, adult English for Speakers of Other Languages ("Adult ESOL") classes that charge a fee. In other cases, Defendants simply turned them away. In both cases, Defendants did not even keep a record of the interaction that took place at the school.
- 4. Defendants maintain a custom, policy, and practice of excluding recently-arrived, foreign-born, ELL students ages fifteen (15) and older from enrollment in Collier County public schools. Defendants' actions deny Plaintiffs and class members a free public education alongside their peers, the chance to learn core educational content and skills ("Florida Standards"), and access to other activities and programs available to students enrolled in public school. Defendants deprive Plaintiff Children and class members of the

opportunity to earn credits toward a standard high school diploma and to realize their full learning and eventual earning potential.

- 5. Defendants' refusal to enroll Plaintiff Children and other recently-arrived ELL immigrant children denies them meaningful and equal educational opportunity and violates the Equal Educational Opportunities Act ("EEOA"), 20 U.S.C. § 1703(f); Section 601 of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d, ("Title VI"); the Equal Protection and Due Process Clauses of the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, U.S. Const., amend XIV, § 1; the Florida Educational Equity Act, Fla. Stat. § 1000.05; and Fla. Admin. Code Ann. r. 6A-19.001.
- 6. Plaintiffs ask the Court to grant declaratory and injunctive relief to Plaintiff Children and similarly situated students, including an injunction requiring Defendants to enroll these students in free public school. Named Plaintiffs also seek compensatory damages for their claims under Title VI and the Equal Protection and Due Process Clauses of the Fourteenth Amendment.

#### **JURISDICTION**

7. This case arises under the United States Constitution and the laws of the United States, including the EEOA, 20 U.S.C. § 1703; Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d; and 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331(a), 1343, 2201,

and 2202, and 20 U.S.C. §§ 1706, 1708. The Court may exercise supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state law claims under the Florida Educational Equity Act, Fla. Stat. §§ 1000.05 and Fla. Admin. Code Ann. r. 6A-19.001. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

#### **VENUE**

8. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because "a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim[s] occurred" in this district.

#### **PARTIES**

### **Named Plaintiffs**

- 9. Lesly Methelus and his son, Y.M., reside within Immokalee High School's attendance zone in Collier County. Y.M. is a child with limited English proficiency<sup>1</sup> who is of Haitian national origin.
- 10. Rosalba Ortiz and her nephew (over whom she has custody),
  G.O., reside within Immokalee High School's attendance zone in Collier
  County. G.O. is a child with limited English proficiency who is of Guatemalan national origin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The term "limited English proficiency" ("LEP") is interchangeable with "English Language Learner" ("ELL").

- 11. Zoila Lorenzo and her son, M.D., reside within Immokalee High School's attendance zone in Collier County. M.D. is a child with limited English proficiency who is of Guatemalan national origin.
- 12. Marie Ange Joseph and her daughter, K.V., reside within Golden Gate High School's attendance zone in Collier County. K.V. is a child with limited English proficiency who is of Haitian national origin.
- 13. Emile Antoine and his son, N.A., reside within Golden Gate
  High School's attendance zone in Collier County. N.A. is a child with limited
  English proficiency who is of Haitian national origin.
- 14. Lucenie Hilaire Durosier and her son, T.J.H., reside within Immokalee High School's attendance zone in Collier County. T.J.H. is a child with limited English proficiency who is of Haitian national origin.

#### **Defendants**

15. Defendant School Board of Collier County, Florida ("School Board"), is responsible for directing, operating, controlling, and supervising all free public schools in Collier County. *See* Fla. Stat. §§ 1001.32-33 and 1001.40-42. The School Board is responsible for the operation of schools within the Collier County School District. *Id.* § 1001.42(4). The School Board is the contracting agent on behalf of the School District and is subject to suit. *Id.* §§ 1001.41(4), 1001.30. The School Board has acted under color of state

law at all times referenced in this complaint within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

- 16. Defendant Kamela Patton, as Superintendent of the Collier County Public Schools, is the secretary and executive officer of the Collier County School Board. *See* Fla. Stat. §§ 1001.32(3), 1001.33. Superintendent Patton is responsible for the administration and management of the schools. *Id.*; *see also id.* §§ 1001.49, 1001.51. She is charged with recommending the operation of schools, classes, and services as are needed to provide adequate educational opportunities for all children in the district. *Id.* § 1001.51(6).
- 17. Defendant Patton is also charged with ensuring that all laws and rules of the State Board of Education are properly observed. *Id.* § 1001.51(14). She is responsible for enforcing school attendance of all students subject to compulsory school age in the school district. *Id.* § 1003.26. Superintendent Patton has acted under color of state law at all times referenced in this complaint within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 1983. She is sued in her official capacity.

#### LEGAL BACKGROUND

## Plaintiffs Children's Right to Equal Access to Educational Opportunity

18. The education of children is a fundamental value of the people of the State of Florida. Fla. Const. art. IX, § 1(a). The state constitution mandates

"a high quality system of free public schools that allows students to obtain a high quality education." *Id.* Such education shall be provided to "all children residing within its borders." *Id.* 

- 19. Florida has developed core skills and subjects that public schools must teach to all students. *See* Fla. Stat. § 1003.41 ("Florida Standards") (requiring skills instruction in critical-thinking, problem-solving, mathematics, contextual and applied-learning, technology-literacy, information and media-literacy, civic-engagement, and subject matter instruction in science, mathematics, social studies, visual and performing arts, physical education and health). *See also id.* § 1003.42.
- 20. Education in Florida is compulsory for all children between the ages of six (6) and sixteen (16) without exception. Fla. Stat. § 1003.21(1)(a)(1).
- 21. Compulsory education continues for a student aged sixteen (16) or older who has not graduated unless and until the student "files a formal declaration of intent to terminate school enrollment with the school board." *Id.* § 1003.21(1)(c). The declaration "must acknowledge that terminating school enrollment is likely to reduce the student's earning potential." *Id.* The declaration must be signed both by the student and the student's parent. *Id.*

- 22. Even on receipt of such a declaration, state law requires school personnel to "determine the reasons for the student's decision to terminate school enrollment" and any "actions that could be taken to keep the student in school." *Id*.
- 23. Under both federal and state law, the right to a free public education applies equally to immigrant children who are not fluent in the English language as it does to other children.
- 24. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 prohibits recipients of federal financial assistance from engaging in national origin discrimination. 42 U.S.C. § 2000d ("No person in the United States shall, on the ground of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance.").
- 25. Title VI requires that students be provided equal access to public education regardless of their national origin. It also requires school districts to take affirmative steps to ensure that ELL students can meaningfully participate in educational programs and services. *See Lau v. Nichols*, 414 U.S. 563, 566 (1974); 34 C.F.R. §100.3(b)(1), (2); Dep't of Health, Education, and Welfare, Identification of Discrimination and Denial of Services on the Basis of National Origin, 35 Fed. Reg. 11,595 (July 18, 1970) *available at*

http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/docs/nationaloriginmemo.html (last visited Aug. 3, 2016). Grouping of ELL students must not operate as an "educational dead-end or permanent track." *Id*.

- 26. The Equal Educational Opportunities Act ("EEOA") prohibits school districts from denying equal educational opportunity to individuals based on their national origin, including by deliberate segregation of students based on national origin or by failing to take appropriate action to overcome language barriers that impede equal participation by students in instructional programs. 20 U.S.C. § 1703 (a); (f).
- 27. In 2015, the Civil Rights Division of the United States

  Department of Justice ("DOJ") and the Office for Civil Rights of the United

  States Department of Education ("OCR") issued guidance to school districts
  reemphasizing that ELL students should not only have access to the core
  curriculum, but also equal opportunities to meaningfully participate in all
  school programs and activities whether curricular, co-curricular, or
  extracurricular. See U.S. Dep't of Justice and U.S. Dep't of Educ., Dear

  Colleague Letter: English Learner Students and Limited English Proficient

  Parents 17-18 (Jan. 7, 2015), available at

  <a href="http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/letters/colleague-el-201501.pdf">http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/letters/colleague-el-201501.pdf</a> (last
  visited Aug. 3, 2016) ("Dear Colleague Letter").

- 28. The Dear Colleague Letter further stated that school districts should place ELL students in age-appropriate grade levels so that these students can have meaningful access to their grade-appropriate curricula and an equal opportunity to graduate. *Id.* at 18. While recognizing that some of these students may have had an interruption in their formal education, the Dear Colleague Letter states that these students should still be placed in a setting that is age appropriate and that allows them to access the core curriculum and earn credits toward graduation or promotion. *See id.* n.50.
- 29. Florida law and administrative regulations also require equal access and prohibit discrimination against ELL students. *See* Fla. Stat. § 1000.05 and Fla. Admin. Code Ann. r. 6A-19.001; *see generally* Fla. Stat. § 1003.56(3)(d); Fla. Admin. Code Ann. rr. 6A-6.0901, 6A-6.0908.
- 30. Florida law further requires Defendants to not only provide ELL students with English instruction, but also with instruction in the subject areas of mathematics, science, social studies, and computer literacy, either with language support or in the students' home language. Fla. Stat. § 1003.56(3)(d); Fla. Admin. Code Ann. r. 6A-6.0901-09091.
- 31. Under 20 U.S.C. §§ 6801-6871, federal funds are allocated to help ELL students learn English and meet state academic content and achievement standards. Defendant School Board, as the contracting agent of

the School District, receives federal funding, including but not limited to English Language Acquisition funding, to provide instructional programs and services to ELL students.

- 32. As required by state law, on February 26, 2013, Defendant Patton signed and submitted to the Florida Department of Education a "District ELL Plan." *See* Ex. 1; § 1003.56(3)(a), Fla. Stat. The plan certifies Collier County public schools' compliance with, *inter alia*, the EEOA, Title VI and the requirements of the Office for Civil Rights Standards for Title VI compliance; Fla. Stat. § 1003.56; applicable administrative regulations; and the Florida Educational Equity Act—all of which require equal access to educational opportunities for ELL students. Ex. 1.
- 33. The District ELL Plan sets forth the policies and procedures for providing instruction to ELL students, including identification, evaluation, and placement. The ELL Plan requires schools to identify ELL students at the time of registration using a home language survey. Ex. 1 at 3-4.; Fla. Admin. Code Ann. r. 6A-6.0902(1).
- 34. Under Defendants' ELL Plan, schools must individually assess both 1) the student's English language skills and 2) the student's academic skills. Ex. 1 at 4-7; Fla. Admin. Code Ann. r. 6A-6.0902(2). As part of these assessments, schools are to administer the Comprehensive English Language

Learning Assessment (CELLA), specifically the "On-line Form 3 CELLA." Ex. 1 at 6.

- 35. Based on results of the assessment, the school's ELL committee is then to place the student in an English language class. *Id.* at 6-7.
- 36. The school is also required to assess ELL students' academic level using testing and previous school records, and, in the absence of such records, using interviews of the student and parents and other assessment tools. *Id.* at 7-8.
- 37. To "address the placement of ELLs with limited or no prior school experience(s), or whose prior school records are incomplete," the ELL plan provides that "school personnel will request records" from the previous school. *Id.* at 8; *see* Fla. Admin. Code Ann. r. 6A-6.0902(3)(b).
- 38. After such assessment, the ELL contact or guidance counselor is to place the student in an appropriate school grade and develop an ELL student plan for the student. Ex. 1 at 7-10. The ELL plan requires that placements be "age appropriate." *Id.* at 8.
- 39. Under both Florida administrative code and Defendants' ELL plan, ELL students are to be taught to the Florida Standards, and to "receive equal access to the regular curriculum." *Id.* at 11; Fla. Admin. Code Ann. r. 6A-6.0904(1)(a).

40. Further, both the administrative code and the ELL plan require that "instruction provided to ELLs is equal in amount, sequence and scope to that provided to non-ELL students." Ex. 1 at 12; Fla. Admin. Code Ann. r. 6A-6.0904(1)(a).

# Defendants' Policy and Practice of Denying Equal Access to Educational Opportunity

- 41. Beginning around 2011, there was a sharp increase in the number of unaccompanied minors arriving in the United States from abroad. *See* Pew Charitable Trusts, *Number of Undocumented Children Who Cross U.S. Border Alone has Tripled* (May 9, 2013) <a href="https://www.pewtrusts.org/en/research-and-analysis/blogs/stateline/2013/05/09/number-of-undocumented-children-who-cross-us-border-alone-has-tripled">https://www.pewtrusts.org/en/research-and-analysis/blogs/stateline/2013/05/09/number-of-undocumented-children-who-cross-us-border-alone-has-tripled</a> (last visited Aug. 3, 2016). Most of these youth were 16 or 17 years old when they came to the United States. Congressional Research Service, *Unaccompanied Alien Children: Demographics in Brief* 4-5 (Sept. 24, 2014)

  <a href="https://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/homesec/R43734.pdf">https://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/homesec/R43734.pdf</a> (last visited Aug. 3, 2016).
- 42. In 2011, an advocate from Catholic Charities met personally with Collier County school officials and Defendant Patton after a teenaged Cuban student was denied enrollment at Palmetto Ridge High School. Defendant Patton refused to permit the student to enroll.

43. On or about February 12, 2013, Defendant School Board adopted School Board Policy 5112.01 ("the Policy"), which states:

Persons who are seventeen (17) years old or older and who by earning eight (8) credits per academic year, cannot meet graduation requirements including grade point average (GPA), prior to the end of the school year in which they attain the age of nineteen (19), shall not be permitted to attend the regular high school program beyond the end of the academic year in which they attain the age of seventeen (17). Such persons shall be afforded an opportunity to pursue a high school diploma through the Adult High School or General Educational Development (GED) programs of the District.

## Ex. 2 (emphasis added).

- 44. By its plain language, the Policy applies only to children "who are seventeen (17) years old or older." *Id.* It allows those children to attend school through the end of the academic year in which they "attain the age of seventeen (17)." However, Defendants purport to rely on the Policy to deny enrollment to ELL students as young as fifteen (15) years old.
- 45. Defendants have a custom, policy, and practice of denying high school enrollment to recently-arrived, foreign-born, ELL students aged fifteen (15) and older.
- 46. Upon information and belief, children aged fifteen (15) and older who are not recently-arrived, foreign-born, ELL students are permitted to either enroll in or continue to attend Collier County public schools and receive a free public education in which they earn credits toward a standard high school diploma. This includes

students who have been retained, who are not on grade level, and who are not on track to graduate.

- 47. During a January 2013 School Board Workshop meeting discussing the proposed policy, Board members raised a concern about the Policy's impact on currently enrolled students. District employee Christy Kutz emphasized that the Policy was targeted at "new kids enrolling at our schools."
- 48. The District maintains no records of attempts of recently-arrived, foreign-born ELL students aged 15 and older who seek to enroll in schools but are turned away.
- 49. School officials make the discriminatory assumption that such children are likely to fail academically when they deny them enrollment. This assumption is not based on any testing or assessment of the individual student; rather, the denial of enrollment occurs without any individualized assessment.
- 50. By denying enrollment to recently-arrived, foreign-born ELL students ages fifteen (15) and older, Defendants procedurally and substantively depart from the norms as embodied in their ELL Plan and enrollment of students in general.
- 51. Not only are these students not assessed as required by the ELL Plan; they are also deprived of the other benefits of the ELL Plan required by law. They are not offered an individualized ELL student plan; classes required

under state law for ELL students, including mathematics, science, and social studies; classes taught to the Florida Standards; equal access to the regular curriculum; instruction equal in amount, sequence and scope to that provided to non-ELL students; or assessments of their academic and language progress.

- 52. After denying enrollment, school officials refer some of these students to off-site, noncredit, Adult ESOL programs at Immokalee Technical College, also known as Immokalee Technical Center ("iTech"), Lorenzo Walker Technical College, or other locations, which charge a fee. Defendants provide other students with no additional information and leave them to fend for themselves.
- 53. Since 2013, several hundred foreign-born children between the ages of fifteen (15) and eighteen (18) have found their way to these Adult ESOL programs after being excluded from Collier County public schools.
- 54. Defendants are aware of the large numbers of foreign-born ELL students turned away from Collier County public high schools.
- 55. To the extent Defendants divert these students to a noncredit, fee-based Adult ESOL program, Defendants procedurally and substantively depart from the norms set out in the ELL Plan in a number of ways, as described below.

- 56. Adult ESOL is an English language program. It does not teach the skills and subject matters required under Florida law and the ELL Plan. The program was designed only "to improve the employability of the state's workforce through acquisition of communication skills and cultural competencies that enhance ability to read, write, speak, and listen in English." Fla. Stat. § 1004.02(2).
- 57. The Adult ESOL program does not provide instruction in basic subject areas of math, science or social studies, as required by Fla. Stat. § 1003.56(3)(d), accompanying regulations, and the ELL Plan. Students enrolled at Collier County public high schools, by contrast, do receive such instruction.
- 58. The Adult ESOL program does not provide any credit toward a standard high school diploma. *See* Fla. Stat. § 1004.02(2) (defining Adult ESOL as "noncredit English literacy courses"). By contrast, basic ESOL classes for ELL students in free public schools must provide credits toward a high school diploma. Fla. Admin. Code Ann. r. 6A-6.0904(1)(d).
- 59. Defendants do not evaluate ELL children excluded from public school to determine whether and when they should transition into high school. For these children, Adult ESOL classes are an educational dead-end.

- 60. Adult ESOL costs Plaintiffs and class members thirty dollars (\$30.00) per semester plus other costs, in violation of the Florida Constitution, which mandates "free public schools." Fla. Const. art. IX, § 1(a).
- 61. ELL students who are diverted from high school and who enroll in the Adult ESOL program are segregated from their peers and denied the opportunity to participate in any of the high school's academic enrichment, sports, or extracurricular activities. This violates Florida administrative regulations that entitle ELL students to "equal access to programs and services other than ESOL." *See* Fla. Admin. Code Ann. r. 6A-6.0908. It is also contrary to federal guidance stating that ELL students should have equal opportunities to meaningfully participate in all school programs and activities. *See* Dear Colleague Letter, *supra*, at 17-18.
- 62. At the Adult ESOL programs, students as young as fifteen (15) are enrolled in classes with adults, some of whom are older than the students' parents or grandparents. This contravenes the Dear Colleague Letter and Defendants' ELL Plan, which require age appropriate placement. *See* Dear Colleague Letter, *supra* at 18, n. 50; Ex. 1 at 8.
- 63. By signing the District ELL Plan, Defendant Patton certified the District's compliance with civil rights statutes, including Title VI and the EEOA, and with federal administrative guidance on the District's obligations

under these laws. Defendants were on notice that these laws require them to provide meaningful access to a public education for ELL students.

- 64. Through their custom, policy, and practice, Defendants segregate Plaintiff Children and class members from their peers and deprive them of equal access to educational opportunities offered in high school and the opportunity to earn credits toward a high school diploma and to learn skills and core subject matter that they will need in the future—limiting these children's educational and career opportunities, and their future earning potential.
- 65. Upon information and belief, Defendants' custom, policy, and practice of denying enrollment to recently-arrived, foreign-born ELL students have had a chilling effect on student enrollment. Some immigrant students have not sought to enroll in school because they have heard that they cannot enroll if they are fifteen (15) or older.

#### NAMED PLAINTIFFS' ALLEGATIONS

- 66. Y.M. arrived in Collier County from Haiti on March 27, 2015, at the age of fifteen (15). Before coming to the United States, Y.M. was enrolled in what is considered the eighth grade in Haiti.<sup>2</sup>
- 67. During the week of March 30, 2015, Y.M., at the age of fifteen (15), and his father, Mr. Methelus, went to Immokalee High School to enroll in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Grade levels in other countries may not correspond with grade levels in the United States. That is why individual assessment of foreign-born students' language and academic skills is essential to placement.

school. A school staff person immediately asked Y.M.'s age. When Mr. Methelus told her that Y.M. would be turning sixteen (16) on April 4, 2015, the employee responded that Y.M. could not enroll at Immokalee High because it was "too close to his sixteenth birthday." Defendants' employee did not give Y.M. and his father an enrollment packet for school. Instead, she directed them to iTech.

- 68. Mr. Methelus enrolled Y.M. in the Adult ESOL program at iTech. At iTech, Y.M. did not receive instruction in the core subject areas and skills required by the Florida Standards, nor was he able to earn credits toward a high school diploma. The refusal to enroll Y.M. in an academic program and decision to isolate him from his non-immigrant peers has caused him to feel frustrated and anxious about his future.
- 69. G.O. arrived in Collier County from Guatemala in the summer of 2014. G.O. wants to become a police officer so that he can serve and protect his community.
- 70. In August 2014, when G.O. was sixteen (16), he went with his aunt, Rosalba Ortiz, to Immokalee High School to enroll.
- 71. At the school's front office, they completed school registration paperwork and provided a copy of G.O.'s report card showing he had completed what is considered the sixth grade in Guatemala. Defendants'

employee said that she had to send G.O.'s paperwork to district headquarters in Naples to find out if G.O. could enroll. When Ms. Ortiz contacted the school days later, she was told G.O. could not enroll.

- 72. Ms. Ortiz learned about the Adult ESOL program at iTech from a friend. Having been offered no other option, Ms. Ortiz paid thirty dollars (\$30.00) per semester to enroll G.O. at iTech in the Adult ESOL program, where he received only English language instruction. G.O. did not receive instruction in the core subject areas and skills required by the Florida Standards. He feels frustrated and hopeless.
- 73. M.D., who is from Guatemala, arrived in Immokalee in December 2014 at the age of sixteen (16).
- 74. Shortly after his arrival, M.D. and his mother, Zoila Lorenzo, went to Immokalee High School to enroll M.D. in school. They were met in the front office by a school employee who immediately asked about M.D.'s age and educational history. M.D. advised that he was sixteen (16) years old and had finished what is considered the sixth grade in Guatemala. Defendants' employee told them that M.D. could not attend high school, but could enroll at iTech. Ms. Lorenzo and M.D. were not given an enrollment packet. Ms. Lorenzo took M.D. to iTech where she paid thirty dollars (\$30.00) to enroll him in the Adult ESOL class.

- 75. M.D. is a soccer enthusiast and skilled player. Shortly after his arrival in Immokalee, he joined a recreational soccer league. A local coach recognized his ability and encouraged him to try out for Immokalee High School's soccer team. As M.D. has not been able to enroll in high school, he has not been able to try out for the high school soccer team.
- 76. M.D. attended the Adult ESOL program at iTech. At iTech, he did not receive instruction in the basic subject areas required by the Florida Standards nor was he allowed to participate in Immokalee High School's programs and activities. He feels frustrated and isolated due to the Defendants' refusal to allow him to access a high school education.
- 77. K.V., who is from Haiti, arrived in Naples in January 2015 at the age of sixteen (16). K.V. was in what was considered to be her third year of high school in Haiti and aspires to become a pediatrician.
- 78. Shortly after her arrival, K.V. went with her uncle to Golden Gate High School in Naples to enroll in school.
- 79. A school staff person at Golden Gate High School told K.V. that she had to bring in her records from her previous school in Haiti.
- 80. K.V.'s mother, Marie Ange Joseph, requested the records. When the records arrived in February 2015, K.V. again went to Golden Gate High School, this time with her mother and uncle. After K.V. and her family

provided the records, the staff person told K.V. that she could not enroll because she did not speak English well and because she did not have enough credits. The staff person never gave K.V. an enrollment packet.

- 81. K.V.'s cousin suggested that she might be able to enroll in an Adult ESOL program at night at Barron Collier High School. K.V. paid thirty dollars (\$30.00) to enroll, and attended the program from 6:00 p.m. to 8:30 p.m. each night. The other students in the class were much older than K.V.
- 82. K.V. applied for a job, but was told that she was not permitted by law to work during the day because she was supposed to be in school. *See* Fla. Stat. § 450.081(2) ("On any school day, minors 16 and 17 years of age who are not enrolled in a career education program shall not be gainfully employed during school hours.").
- 83. K.V. asked administrative staff at Barron Collier High School if she could go to school during the day. She hoped they would allow her to go to high school. Instead, they sent her to Lorenzo Walker Technical College. K.V. began the Adult ESOL program there in April 2015.
- 84. At these Adult ESOL programs, K.V. has not received instruction in the core subject areas and skills required by the Florida Standards such as math, science, or social studies, nor was she able to earn credits toward

a high school diploma. Being excluded from an academic program and segregated in an Adult ESOL class has made K.V. feel sad and frustrated.

- 85. N.A., who is from Haiti, arrived in Naples in February 2016 at the age of seventeen (17). He lives with his father, Emile Antoine. N.A.'s favorite subjects are math, physics, and French. He likes to tinker with electronics in his spare time. He aspires go to college, study computer science, and work with computers and electronics when he grows up. When N.A. left his school in Haiti in January 2016 to move to the United States, he was in what was considered there to be the ninth grade.
- 86. On February 29, 2016, N.A. and his father, Emile Antoine, went to Lely High School in Naples to enroll N.A. in school. They brought their cousin along to interpret. School staff told them that N.A. needed immunizations to enroll.
- 87. N.A. obtained the required immunizations and returned to Lely High School on March 4, 2016, with proof of immunizations, along with his passport, social security card, and his report cards from Haiti. The school staff person then told N.A. and his father that N.A. was too old to attend Lely High School.
- 88. N.A. and his father later learned that the assigned high school for their home was actually Golden Gate High School, not Lely.

- Soon after, in March 2016, N.A. went with his father to Golden Gate High School to request enrollment. They did not have anyone available to interpret, and the school did not provide an interpreter. N.A. and his father presented the same paperwork that they had presented at Lely to the Golden Gate staff person. A staff person at the school gave N.A. and Mr. Antoine a piece of paper written only in English that stated that N.A. was, "no longer eligible to pursue a traditional High School Diploma in Collier County Public Schools, including those programs offered through Alternative Programs." Mr. Antoine understood that a staff person at Golden Gate High School would communicate with him by phone about N.A.'s enrollment in school, but no one ever called him. Mr. Antoine followed up by telephone, but N.A. was not permitted to enroll at Golden Gate High School.
- 90. Soon after, a friend told Mr. Antoine about a program at Lorenzo Walker Technical College. Mr. Antoine took N.A. to Lorenzo Walker where he paid thirty dollars (\$30.00) to enroll N.A. in Adult ESOL.
- 91. At Lorenzo Walker, N.A. attends a program with people much older than he is, including one man N.A. estimates is in his 40s. N.A. does not receive instruction in the basic subject areas required by the Florida Standards such as math, science or social studies, and does not receive credits toward a high school diploma. High school programs and activities are not available to

him. He feels frustrated and desperate due to the Defendants' refusal to allow him to access a high school education.

- 92. T.J.H. moved from Haiti to Georgia in December 2015. T.J.H. loves art, and aspires to work in foreign affairs when he grows up. T.J.H. enrolled in Lovejoy High School in Hampton, Georgia, where he was placed in the tenth grade. T.J.H. attended high school in Georgia until April 2016, when he moved to Immokalee, Florida.
- 93. At age seventeen (17), T.J.H. went with his mother, Lucenie Hilaire, to Immokalee High School to enroll.
- 94. Upon arrival at the school's front office, the school staff person asked for identification and his report card from his last school. Ms. Hilaire provided T.J.H.'s identification along with his report cards from both Haiti and from his school in Georgia. The staff person told Ms. Hilaire that T.J.H. was too old and did not have enough credits to attend school. The school gave Ms. Hilaire a card with information about iTech.
- 95. Having been offered no other option, Ms. Hilaire paid thirty dollars (\$30.00) per semester to enroll T.J.H. at iTech in the Adult ESOL program. There he received only basic English language instruction and did not receive credits toward a high school diploma. T.J.H. did not receive instruction

in the core subject areas and skills required by the Florida Standards, such as math, science or social studies.

- 96. At iTech, T.J.H. attends a program with people old enough to be his parents and grandparents. He feels frustrated because he believes that he would have learned English much faster if he were in high school, and he would be earning credits toward a high school diploma so that he can go to college.
- 97. All of the Plaintiff Children want to continue their education.

  None of these children has ever filed a declaration of intent to terminate school enrollment with any school board or otherwise relinquished their right to attend school. No one at Immokalee, Lely, or Golden Gate High School ever assessed these students to determine their academic level or English proficiency before denying them enrollment in Collier County public schools. None of these children or their parents or guardians were given the opportunity to appeal the decision to deny them enrollment.
- 98. On September 17, 2015, Plaintiffs' attorney wrote a letter to Defendant Patton, identifying the Defendants' refusal to enroll ELL students aged sixteen (16) and older in Collier County public schools; stating that enrollment of students in Adult ESOL rather than in school violated state and federal laws; requesting rescission of the Policy; requesting that immediate

steps be taken to enroll G.O. and M.D. in school; and requesting that similarly situated students be ensured equal access to educational opportunities.

99. Defendants' general counsel responded to the letter, but refused to enroll the students in high school or to revise the School Board's policy or practice relating to enrollment.

#### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

- 100. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of Plaintiff Children and a class of similarly-situated individuals, pursuant to Rule 23(a) and (b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
  - 101. The class is defined as:

All recently-arrived, foreign-born, English Language Learner (ELL) students ages fifteen (15) and older who, after May 18, 2012, resided or will reside in Collier County, sought or will seek to enroll in a Collier County public school, and were or will be denied enrollment by the Defendants.

## Rule 23(a)(1) – Numerosity

102. According to federal government data, more than 200 unaccompanied minors have been released to family or sponsors in Collier County each fiscal year since 2013, including 241 from October 2013 to

September 2014, 219 from October 2014 to September 2015, and 266 from October 2015 to June 2016 (the most recent data available).<sup>3</sup>

- 103. A report by the Congressional Research Service shows that nationally, children 16-17 years old made up 55% of the unaccompanied minors population in fiscal year 2013 and 46% of the population in fiscal year 2014. On average, children 16-17 years old have accounted for about half of the unaccompanied minors entering the United States. Congressional Research Service, Unaccompanied Alien Children: Demographics in Brief 4-5, (Sept. 24, 2014), <a href="https://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/homesec/R43734.pdf">https://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/homesec/R43734.pdf</a> (last visited Aug. 8, 2016).
- 104. Assuming the age breakdown nationally is comparable to the age breakdown of the children who have resettled to Collier County, this would mean that approximately 50% of the unaccompanied minors who have come to Collier County are 16-17 years old.
- 105. This data shows that the proposed class consists of at least 110 to 130 children a year (50% of 241, 219, 266), or at least 330-390 total.
- 106. In the 2015-2016 school year alone, at least three hundred and sixty-nine (369) foreign-born students under the age of eighteen (18) years old

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Office of Refugee Resettlement, *Unaccompanied Children Released to Sponsors by County FY 15*, http://www.acf.hhs.gov/orr/unaccompanied-children-released-to-sponsors-by-county (last visited Aug. 4, 2016).

were attending a Collier County Adult ESOL program instead of regular public school.

- 107. This is sufficient to show numerosity.
- 108. Joinder of the members of the class would be impracticable. The proposed class members are foreign-born students who are not proficient in English or familiar with the U.S. legal system.
- 109. Many parents or guardians of children in this situation will themselves have limited English proficiency, lack familiarity with the U.S. legal system, and lack resources necessary to advocate for these children.
- 110. In addition, the proposed class includes future members whose identities cannot yet be known.

# Rule 23(a)(2) – Commonality

- 111. Members of the proposed class have been or will be adversely affected by Defendants' refusal to enroll recently-arrived ELL students aged 15 and older into public school with their peers.
- 112. Common questions for all class members include: (1) whether Defendants' refusal to enroll children in school violates the U.S. Constitution, the EEOA, and Title VI; and (2) whether Defendants' actions unlawfully segregate children from their peers and deny them access to programs and

activities in violation of the EEOA, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Florida Educational Equity Act.

113. Determination of these common questions will turn on an evaluation of the same legal standards, requirements, and policy and practice of the Defendants.

### Rule 23(a)(3) – Typicality

- 114. The named Plaintiffs' claims are typical of those of the proposed class. They arise from Defendants' enrollment policy and practice that deny class members the opportunity to enroll in the public school, deny them equal access to educational opportunities, and segregate them from their peers. These claims are based on the same injuries and application of the same legal theories to all class members' claims.
- 115. All class members will benefit from an end to Defendants' discriminatory policy and practice.

## Rule 23(a)(4) – Adequacy of Representation

- 116. The named Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately represent the class. They have no interests antagonistic to those of the class. They seek declaratory and injunctive relief to provide relief to all class members.
- 117. Plaintiffs' counsel is also fully qualified and prepared to pursue this litigation on behalf of the class. Plaintiffs are represented by the Southern

Poverty Law Center, a nonprofit organization with significant experience litigating class actions and with sufficient financial and human resources to litigate this matter.

118. Plaintiffs and their counsel will vigorously and competently prosecute this action on behalf of the class.

# Rule 23(b)(2) – Defendants' Refusal to Act on Grounds Applicable Generally to the Class

- 119. The named Plaintiffs challenge a policy and practice by the Defendants that is generally applicable to the class as a whole. That policy and practice operates to exclude class members from enrollment in their local public school alongside their peers.
- 120. Defendants have acted or refused to act on grounds that apply generally to the class, making final injunctive and corresponding declaratory relief appropriate with regard to the class as a whole.

## **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

## COUNT I

# Equal Educational Opportunities Act of 1974 ("EEOA")

121. The named Plaintiffs, on behalf of Plaintiff Children, and all others similarly situated, re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs of this complaint as if fully restated herein.

- 122. Defendants Collier County School Board and Superintendent Patton are bound by the provisions of the EEOA, 20 U.S.C. § 1703.
  - 123. Section 1703 of the EEOA states in part:

No State shall deny equal educational opportunity to an individual on account of his or her race, color, sex or national origin by:

(a) the deliberate segregation by an educational agency of students on the basis of race, color, sex or national origin among or within schools;

[or] . . .

(f) the failure of an educational agency to take appropriate action to overcome language barriers that impede equal participation by its students in its instructional programs.

20 U.S.C. § 1703(a), (f).

- 124. Through their actions and inactions, including excluding these students from public school, Defendants have denied Plaintiff Children and similarly situated students equal educational opportunity on account of their national origin by deliberately segregating them from their peers because of their national origin.
- 125. Through their actions and inactions, including denying these students enrollment, Defendants have denied Plaintiff Children and class members equal educational opportunities on account of their national origin by

failing to take appropriate action to overcome language barriers that impede these students' equal participation in Defendants' public school programs.

- limited to: a) refusal to enroll Plaintiff Children and class members in public school; b) referral of some recently-arrived, foreign-born ELL children in noncredit Adult ESOL classes that do not teach to the Florida standards or provide any opportunity to reintegrate into public school or earn credits toward a standard high school diploma; and c) failure to properly assess the English proficiency and academic levels of recently-arrived, foreign-born ELL children, place them according to English and academic skills, and develop an ELL student plan for each student in accordance with Defendants' ELL Plan.
- 127. As a result, Defendants deny Plaintiff Children and similarly situated students a free public education, the opportunity to learn the skills and subject matter set forth in the Florida Standards, credits toward a standard high school diploma, access to the other activities and programs available to students enrolled in public schools, and their full learning and earning potential.
- 128. Defendants' conduct violates the rights of Plaintiff Children, and others similarly situated, under the EEOA.

- 129. As a result of Defendants' actions and inactions, Plaintiff
  Children have suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable harm, which
  includes, but is not limited to, the loss of education, an inability to overcome
  language barriers, and diminished educational and future employment
  opportunities.
- 130. Named Plaintiffs, on behalf of Plaintiff Children, and all other similarly-situated students, request declaratory and injunctive relief to remedy Defendants' ongoing violation of these rights.

#### **COUNT II**

## Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964

- 131. The named Plaintiffs, on behalf of Plaintiff Children, and all other similarly-situated students, re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs of this complaint as if fully restated herein.
- 132. Title VI provides that no person in the United States shall, on the ground of race, color or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subject to discrimination under, any program receiving federal funding. 42 U.S.C. § 2000d.
- 133. As recipients of federal funding, Defendants are prohibited from discriminating against Plaintiffs by excluding them from instructional services,

failing to provide them with instructional services, or providing them with inferior services on the basis of their national origin. Defendants are aware of their Title VI obligations, as certified in their ELL Plan.

- 134. Defendants, under color of law, deprived Plaintiff Children and all others similarly situated of their right to a free public education on the basis of their national origin. Defendants acted intentionally or with deliberate indifference to the likelihood that the federally-protected rights of Plaintiff Children and class members would be violated.
- 135. By excluding Plaintiff Children and class members from their schools, referring some to noncredit Adult ESOL classes that segregate them from their peers, failing to teach math, science, social studies and other skills and subject matter set forth in the Florida Standards, failing to provide credits toward a high school diploma, and denying access to other activities and programs available to students enrolled in public schools, Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff Children and similarly-situated students on the basis of their national origin.
- 136. Defendants deny enrollment to Plaintiff Children and similarly-situated students based on a discriminatory blanket assumption that these children are likely to fail academically in a regular high school program, rather

than performing individualized language and academic assessments of Plaintiff Children and class members.

- 137. Defendants know that recently-arrived, foreign-born ELL students have been and are being denied enrollment from public high schools in Collier County. They are also aware of the large numbers of these students enrolled in noncredit adult ESOL classes that fail to provide instruction in any other curricular areas or offer an opportunity to progress toward a standard high school diploma. Yet Defendants continue to deny these students enrollment in their public schools.
- 138. Defendants fail to maintain records of putative class members who have attempted to enroll in Collier County high schools, which facilitates the evasion of their own ELL Plan, state and federal law.
- 139. Through their actions and inactions on the basis of national origin, Defendants have excluded Plaintiff Children, and similarly-situated students, from participation in a public school education and Defendants' ELL program, denied them the benefits of these programs, and subjected them to discrimination as set forth above.
- 140. As a result of Defendants' actions and inactions, Plaintiffs have suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable harm, which includes, but is not limited to, the loss of educational time, an inability to overcome language

barriers, and diminished educational and future employment opportunities.

Defendants' actions have caused and will continue to cause the named

Plaintiffs to suffer compensable injuries, entitling the named Plaintiffs to

compensatory damages.

141. The named Plaintiffs, on behalf of Plaintiff Children, and all others similarly situated, seek declaratory and injunctive relief to remedy these ongoing violations. The named Plaintiffs, individually, also seek compensatory damages.

#### **COUNT III**

# Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection Clause (42 U.S.C. § 1983)

- 142. The named Plaintiffs, on behalf of Plaintiff Children, and all other similarly-situated students, re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs of this complaint as if fully restated herein.
- 143. The Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause provides that "[n]o State shall . . . deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws." U.S. Const. amend. XIV.
- 144. Defendants, under color of state law, have acted and continue to act pursuant to a policy and practice to deprive Plaintiff Children, and all

others similarly situated, of the right to equal protection of the laws under the Fourteenth Amendment.

- 145. Defendants maintain a custom, policy, and practice of excluding recently-arrived, foreign-born ELL students from enrollment in public school.
- a District Policy that excludes children from public school in the academic year after they turn seventeen (17) years old, under the assumption that these children will not meet certain academic requirements by the time they turn nineteen (19). Defendants discriminate against Plaintiff Children and similarly-situated students by enforcing that policy against recently-arrived, foreign-born ELL children as young as fifteen (15) seeking enrollment in school.
- 147. The Policy was adopted and is enforced with the intent to discriminate against Plaintiff Children and other similarly-situated students on the basis of their national origin.
- 148. As the result of Defendants' actions, hundreds of recently-arrived, foreign-born ELL students—including those as young as 15—have been prevented from enrolling in public schools. Some have enrolled in the Adult ESOL program for a fee, alongside individuals decades their senior. Defendants are aware of the large numbers of students excluded from public school, but maintain their unconstitutional policy and practice.

- 149. As a result, Defendants deny Plaintiff Children, and similarly-situated students, a public school education, the opportunity to learn the skills and subject matter set forth in the Florida Standards, credits toward a standard high school diploma, access to the other activities and programs available to public school students, and their full learning and earning potential.
- 150. Defendants' actions in denying Plaintiffs and class members access to a public school education depart procedurally and substantively from the norms set forth in, *inter alia*, their own ELL Plan.
- 151. As a result of Defendants' actions and inactions, Plaintiffs and class members have suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable harm, which includes but is not limited to the loss of educational time, an inability to overcome language barriers, and diminished educational and future employment opportunities. Defendants' actions have caused and will continue to cause the named Plaintiffs to suffer compensable injuries, entitling the named Plaintiffs to compensatory damages.
- 152. The named Plaintiffs, on behalf of Plaintiff Children, and all other similarly-situated students, seek declaratory and injunctive relief to remedy these ongoing, constitutional violations. The named Plaintiffs, individually, also seek compensatory damages.

#### **COUNT IV**

# Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause (42 U.S.C. § 1983)

- 153. The named Plaintiffs, on behalf of Plaintiff Children, and all other similarly-situated students, re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs of this complaint as if fully restated herein.
- 154. Through their actions and inactions, Defendants have deprived Plaintiff Children, and all others similarly situated, of their constitutionally-protected property interest to a public education by denying them the right to enroll in public school.
- 155. Defendants' lack of any procedures following denial of enrollment is constitutionally inadequate. Defendants denied Plaintiff Children, and all others similarly situated, the right to attend public school without any procedures, including notice, an opportunity to be heard, or an avenue to challenge an adverse determination.
- 156. As a result, Defendants deny Plaintiff Children, and similarly situated students, notice and an opportunity to be heard regarding their denial of public school enrollment and related educational opportunities.

- 157. Defendants acted pursuant to a custom, policy, and practice in depriving Plaintiff Children, and all others similarly situated, of a public education without notice or opportunity to be heard.
- 158. As a result of Defendants' actions and inactions, Plaintiff
  Children and class members have suffered and will continue to suffer
  irreparable harm, which includes, but is not limited to, the loss of educational
  time, an inability to overcome language barriers, and diminished educational
  and future employment opportunities. Defendants' actions have caused and
  will continue to cause the named Plaintiffs to suffer compensable injuries,
  entitling the named Plaintiffs to compensatory damages.
- 159. The named Plaintiffs, on behalf of Plaintiff Children, and others similarly situated, seek declaratory and injunctive relief to remedy these ongoing, constitutional violations. The named Plaintiffs, individually, also seek compensatory damages.

### **COUNT V**

Florida Educational Equity Act, Fla. Stat. §§ 1000.05 et seq. and Fla. Admin. Code Ann. r. 6A-19.001 et seq.

160. The named Plaintiffs, on behalf of Plaintiff Children, and all others similarly situated, re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs of this complaint as if fully restated herein.

- 161. The Florida Educational Equity Act prohibits the exclusion of or discrimination against students on the basis of national origin. *See* Fla. Stat. § 1000.05(2)(a).
- 162. Under the Act, "discrimination" includes taking admission actions that adversely affect an applicant for admission based on "linguistic characteristics of a national origin group," or "belonging to a national origin minority group, unnecessarily based on limited-English-language skills." Fla. Admin. Code Ann. r. 6A-19.001.
- 163. The Act also bans admissions criteria that result in a disparate impact. Fla. Stat. § 1000.05(2)(b) ("[T]he criteria for admission to a program or course shall not have the effect of restricting access by persons of a particular race, ethnicity, national origin, gender, disability or marital status").
- 164. Defendants' actions and inactions to exclude Plaintiff Children, and all others similarly situated, from enrollment in public school violate the Florida Educational Equity Act.
- 165. Defendants' policy and practice violate the rights of Plaintiff
  Children, and similarly-situated students, under the Florida Educational Equity
  Act.
- 166. Defendants deny Plaintiff Children, and similarly-situated students, a public school education, the opportunity to learn the skills and

subject matter set forth in the Florida Standards, credits toward a standard high school diploma, access to other activities and programs available to students enrolled in public school, and their full learning and earning potential.

167. The named Plaintiffs, on behalf of Plaintiff Children, and others similarly situated, seek declaratory and injunctive relief to remedy these ongoing violations of the Florida Educational Equity Act.

#### **REQUESTS FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court:

- a. Assume jurisdiction over this matter;
- b. Certify Plaintiffs' claims as class claims pursuant to Rule23(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;
- c. Designate named Plaintiffs as representatives for the class and designate the named Plaintiffs' counsel as counsel for the class pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23;
- d. Declare that Defendants' acts and omissions violate the rights of Plaintiff Children, and class members, under the Equal Educational Opportunities Act;
- e. Declare that Defendants' acts and omissions violate the rights of Plaintiff Children, and class members, under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964;

- f. Declare that Defendants' actions and omissions violate the rights
   of Plaintiff Children, and class members, under the Fourteenth
   Amendment Equal Protection Clause;
- g. Declare that Defendants' acts and omissions violate the rights of Plaintiff Children, and class members, under the Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause;
- h. Declare that Defendants' acts and omissions violate the rights of Plaintiff Children, and class members, to be free from discrimination under the Florida Educational Equity Act;
- Declare Defendants to be liable for the days of school Plaintiff
   Children, and similarly-situated students, missed due to
   Defendants' unlawful policy and practice of denying them
   enrollment;
- j. Enter injunctive relief in the form of:
  - Requiring Defendants to take affirmative steps to enroll Plaintiff Children, and similarly situated students, in an age-appropriate, public school setting.
  - Requiring Defendants to adopt policies, procedures, and training to end Defendants' ongoing violations of the EEOA, Title VI, the U.S. Constitution and state

- law, and to publicize to the community at large and to class members, in a language and form of communication that they understand, those new policies and procedures.
- 3. Requiring Defendants to communicate to all class members, in a language and form of communication that they understand, that they can enroll in school and can make up any days of school that they missed as a result of Defendants' unlawful policy and practice of denying them enrollment.
- 4. Requiring Defendants to adopt policies and procedures to provide prospective students with notice and an opportunity to be heard regarding decisions about eligibility for enrollment in Defendants' public schools.
- 5. Requiring Defendants to provide compensatory education to Plaintiff Children to remedy the harms caused by Defendants' unlawful policy and practice of denying them enrollment.

- k. Award compensatory damages to named Plaintiffs for their
   Claims Under Title VI and the Equal Protection and Due Process
   Clauses of the Fourteenth Amendment.
- Award Plaintiffs reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to
   42 U.S.C. § 1988 and Fla. Stat. § 1000.05(7); and
- m. Grant any other relief the Court deems just and reasonable.

Respectfully submitted this 8th day of August, 2016,

#### SOUTHERN POVERTY LAW CENTER

By: /s/ Michelle Lapointe
Michelle R. Lapointe\*
GA Bar No. 007080
1989 College Avenue NE
Atlanta, GA 30317
T: 404.521.6700
F: 404.221.5857
Michelle.Lapointe@splcenter.org
\* Admitted Pro Hac Vice

Jessica Zagier Wallace
Fla. Bar. No. 956171
Tania Galloni
Fla. Bar No. 619221
4770 Biscayne Blvd., Ste. 760
Miami, Florida 33137
T: 786.347.2056
F: 786.237.2949
Jessica.Wallace@splcenter.org
Tania.Galloni@splcenter.org

Counsel for Plaintiffs

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on August 8, 2016, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will provide service to the following:

James D. Fox ROETZEL & ANDRESS, LPA 850 Park Shore Drive Trianon Centre – Third Floor Naples, Florida 34103 T: 239.649.2705 F: 239.261.3659

Jon Fishbane
District General Counsel
Collier County School District
5775 Osceola Trail
Naples, Florida 34109
Tr. 220 277 0400

T: 239.377.0499 F: 239.377.0501

jfox@ralaw.com

fishbj@collierschools.com

/s/ Michelle Lapointe

# Exhibit 1

#### District ELL Plan Complete. Send notice to DOE.

Submit one original copy of this form with original signature of the superintendent and plan narrative to:

Bureau of Student Achievement through Language Acquisition Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street 444 Turlington Building Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0400 Contact Person: Adeola Fayemi Florida Department of Education

Date Received by FDOE

DISTRICT PLAN FOR SERVICES TO ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS (ELLs)

FDOE INTERNAL USE ONLY

Phone: (850) 245-9555		
(1) NAME OF THE DISTRICT	(2) CONTACT NAME/TITLE:	(3) CONTACT PHONE:
COLLIER	Sandra Stockdale	(239) 377-0632
(4) MAILING ADDRESS:		(5) PREPARED BY: (If different from contact person)
5775 Osceola Trail Naples, FL 34109		
(6) CERTIFICATION BY SCHOOL DISTRICT		
The filing of this application has been authorized this plan and act as the authorized representation	,	representative has been duly authorized to submit n.
		his plan are true and correct. Furthermore, all applicabl maintenance will be implemented to ensure proper
Lambfor	2/26/13	2/26/13
Signature of Superintendent or Authorized Age	ency Head Date Signed	Date of Governing Board Approval
(7) District Parent Leadership Council Involven	ient	
Name of Chairperson representing the District	ELL Parent Leadership Council (PLC): Ferr	nand Billon
Contact Information for District PLC Chairperso Mailing address: 5775 Osceola Trail Naples, FL 34109	n	
E-mail Address: billonfe@collierschools.com	Phone Number: (239) 377-0093	
Date final plan was discussed with PLC: 02/01/	2013 PLCWJ approvedD not app	roved
Billing 8	1/20/2013	2/20/2013
Signature of the Chairperson of the District PL	<u>c</u> .	Date Signed by PLC Chairperson

Tony Bennet, Commissioner Florida Department of Education



# Florida Department of Education District ELL Plan

## DISTRICT ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER PLAN ASSURANCES AND CERTIFICATION

School districts are required to abide by a set of assurances when developing and implementing programs and services to students classified as English Language Learners (ELLs), and are required to ensure school and district level personnel comply with all the requirements and provisions set forth in the laws, rules, regulations, and federal court orders listed below:

- The requirements set forth in Section 1003.56, Florida Statutes;
- The requirements set forth in Rules 6A-6.0900- 6A-6.0909; 6A-6.09022; 6A-6.09091; 6A-1.09432, Florida Administrative Code (FAC.), and other applicable State Board of Education Rules;
- The requirements of the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001;
- The requirements of the Consent Decree in the League of United Latin American Citizens et al. v. the State Board of Education, 1990;
- The requirements of the Florida Educational Equity Act, 1984;
- The requirements based on the Fifth Circuit Court decision in Castaneda v. Pickard, 1981;
- The requirements based on the Supreme Court decision in Plyler v. DOE, 1980;
- The requirements based on the Supreme Court decision in Lau v. Nichols, 1974;
- The requirements of the Equal Educational Opportunities Act of 1974;
- The Requirements of Section 504 Rehabilitation Act of 1973;
- The requirements of the Office of Civil Rights Memorandum of May 25, 1970;
- The requirements of the Title VI and VII Civil Rights Act of 1964; and
- The requirements of the Office of Civil Rights Standards for the Title VI Compliance.

By signature below, I, <u>Dr. Kamela Patton</u>, do hereby certify that procedures, processes and services that are described herein shall be implemented in a manner consistent with the requirements and provisions of the requirements s forth abo

Superintendent's Signature

## Florida Department of Education

#### **ELL Plan Dev**

#### District ELL Plan Complete. Send notice to DOE.

Submit one original copy of this form with original signature of the superintendent and plan narrative to:

Bureau of Student Achievement through Language Acquisition Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street 444 Turlington Building Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0400

Contact Person: Adeola

Fayemi

Phone: (850) 245-9555

Florida Department of Education

DISTRICT PLAN FOR SERVICES TO ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS (ELLs) Dale Received by FDOE

FDOE INTERNAL USE ONLY

(1) NAME OF THE DISTRICT:	(2) CONTACT NAMEfTITLE:	(3) CONTACT PHONE:	
COLLIER	Sandra Stockdale	(239) 377-0532	
(4) MAILING ADDRESS:		(5) PREPARED BY: (If different from contact person)	
5775 Osceola Trail Naples, FL 34109			
(6) CERTIFICATION BY SCHOOL DIS	STRICT		
The filing of this application has been authorized by the School Board and the undersigned representative has been duly authorized to submit this plan and act as the authorized representative of the district in connection with this plan.			
I,	. Furthermore, all applicable s		

ensure proper accountability.				
Signature of Superintendent or Authorized Agency Head	Date Signed	Date of Governing Board Approval		
(7) District Parent Leadership Council In	volvement			
Name of Chairperson representing the D Billon	District ELL Pare	nt Leadership Council (PLC): Fernand		
Contact Information for District PLC Chairperson Mailing address: 5775 Osceola Trail Naples, FL 34109				
E-mail Address: billonfe@collierschool	ls.com	Phone Number: (239) 377-0093		
Date final plan was discussed with PLC: approved	02/01/2013	PLC L/ approvod ! 'not		
Signature of the Chairperson of the District	PLC	Date Signed by PLC Chairperson		

Tony Bennet, Commissioner Florida Department of Education



# DISTRICT ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER PLAN ASSURANCES AND CERTIFICATION

School districts are required to abide by a set of assurances when developing and implementing programs and services to students classified as English Language Learners (ELLs), and are required to ensure school and district level personnel comply with all the requirements and provisions set forth in the laws, rules, regulations, and federal court orders listed below:

- o The requirements set forth in Section 1003.56, Florida Statutes;
- The requirements set forth in Rules 6A-6.0900- 6A-6.0909; 6A-6.09022; 6A-6.09091;
   6A-1.09432, Florida Administrative Code (FAC.), and other applicable State Board of Education Rules;
- The requirements of the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001;
- The requirements of the Consent Decree in the League of United Latin American Citizens et al. v. the State Board of Education, 1990;
- The requirements of the Florida Educational Equity Act, 1984;
- The requirements based on the Fifth Circuit Court decision in Castaneda v. Pickard, 1981:
- The requirements based on the Supreme Court decision in Plyler v. DOE, 1980;
- The requirements based on the Supreme Court decision in Lau v. Nichols, 1974;

- The requirements of the Equal Educational Opportunities Act of 1974;
- The Requirements of Section 504 Rehabilitation Act of 1973;
- The requirements of the Office of Civil Rights Memorandum of May 25, 1970;
- The requirements of the Title VI and VII Civil Rights Act of 1964; and
- The requirements of the Office of Civil Rights Standards for the Title VI Compliance.

By signature below, I,	, do hereby
certify that procedures, processes and services that are of implemented in a manner consistent with the requirement requirements set forth above.	
Superintendent's Signature or Authorized Agency Head	Date Signed

## SECTION 1: IDENTIFICATION [Rule 6A-6.0902, FAC]

Enrollment Procedures and Administration of the Home Language Survey

Describe the district's procedures to register English Language Learners (ELLs), including the following questions:

How is the Home Language Survey (HLS) administered? (Max length 2000)

Upon registration ALL parents receive the same registration form, in a language of understanding (English/Spanish and Haitian-Creole), other languages when feasible. Upon completing the form, all students whose parents or guardians answer "Yes" to one or more of the three questions from the Home Language Survey included on the form, are referred to the ELL Contact and/or designee for assessment and possible placement in the ELL program. At this time, when feasible, parents must be provided with assistance in the home language to explain the program.

How do district procedures compare to those followed for non-ELLs? (Max length 2000)

When the ELL Contact or designee does not speak another language, a bilingual para-professional/tutor will translate the information regarding the ELL program and possible placement provided in Spanish or Haitian-Creole and/or in other languages when feasible. The ELL Contact or designee, the guidance office staff and/or registration staff are responsible for making translation services available to parents and students accordingly. Once the parent/guardian answers "Yes" to any of the survey questions, the ELL Contact and/or designee is responsible for providing the parents a copy of the school's procedures, etc. Parents are directed to the District website which has all resources available in English, Spanish and Haitian-Creole.

Is the HLS translated into other languages?

Yes

If answered "yes," list languages. (Max length 2000)

The HLS is translated into English, Spanish and Haitian-Creole.

How does the district assist parents and students who do not speak English? (Max length 2000)

A bilingual paraprofessional/tutor will translate the information regarding the ELL program and possible placement provided in Spanish or Haitian-Creole and/or in other languages when feasible. The ELL Contact or designee, the guidance office staff and/or registration staff are responsible for making translation services available to parents and students accordingly. Once the parent/guardian answers "Yes" to any of the survey questions, the ELL Contact and/or designee is responsible for providing the parents a copy of the school's procedures, etc. Parents are directed to the District website which has all resources available in English, Spanish and Haitian-Creole.

# SECTION 2: ENGLISH LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY ASSESSMENT (PLACEMENT) [Rule $\underline{6A-6.0902}$ , FAC]

English Language Proficiency (ELP) Assessment

\*Required to save.

\*What is the title of the person(s) responsible for administering the English language proficiency assessment of potential EIIs in the district? (Check all that apply.)

- Registrar
- Other: School's ELL Contact and/or Guidance Counselor, Bilingual Paraprofessional, ELL tutor or ELL teacher
- Other: School's ELL Contact/Guidance Counselor, Bilingual Paraprofessional or Tutor, ELL Teacher
- Other: School's ELL Contact/Guidance Counselor, Bilingual Paraprofessional, ELL Tutor or ELL Teacher
- Registrar
- Other: School's ELL Contact and/or Guidance Counselor, Bilingual Paraprofessional, ELL tutor or ELL teacher
- Registrar
- Other: School's ELL Contact and/or Guidance Counselor, Bilingual Paraprofessional, ELL tutor or ELL teacher
- Registrar
- Other: School's ELL Contact and/or Guidance Counselor, Bilingual Paraprofessional, ELL tutor or ELL teacher
- Registrar
- Other: School's ELL Contact and/or Guidance Counselor, Bilingual Paraprofessional, ELL tutor or ELL teacher

Listening and Speaking Proficiency Assessment

\* List the Listening and Speaking (Aural/Oral) assessment(s) used in the district to ascertain if a student is an ELL. Also, state the publisher's cut-score that determines the student is eligible for ESOL services.

At least one assessment must be entered.

Name of Listening and Speaking Assessment(s):

INDICATE THE CUT SCORE
USED FOR PLACEMENT
(ENTRY) DETERMINATION BY
TYPE OF SCORE

		 _	National Percentile
On-line Form 3 CELLA	K-2	672	
On-line Form 3 CELLA	3-5	719	
On-line Form 3 CELLA	6-8	732	
On-line Form 3 CELLA	9-12	738	

- (1) A raw score represents the number of points a student received for correctly answering questions on a test.
- (2) A scale score is a raw score that has been converted to a scale. The conversion table provided by test publisher should be used to report the scale score, if the test results are not provided in terms of a scale score.
- (3) A national percentile is the percentile rank provided by a national norm-referenced test that indicates the percentage of a referenced group obtaining scores equal to or less than the score achieved by an individual.
- \* Describe the procedures to ensure that the Listening and Speaking assessment(s) are administered within 20 school days of student's initial enrollment. Include the procedures the district follows if the Listening and Speaking assessment(s) are not given within the timeline. (Max length 2000)

Students must be assessed, classified and placed within twenty school days with (On-line Form 3 CELLA). The ELL Contact/designee is responsible for keeping track of students in need of testing and information entered on the TERMS data management system within those twenty school days. The District office tracks through various reports that appropriate placement has occurred within set timelines. Schools are reminded prior to the end of the 20-day window that the On-line Form 3 CELLA assessment needs to occur. Documentation of placement should be sent to parents in the language they understand, unless clearly not feasible, no later than 8 weeks after initial placement. A copy shall be retained in the individual student's blue folder.

Schools must not delay the testing more than 20 days but should it occur because of unforeseen circumstances, the school staff (ELL Contact) will provide parents, in writing, the reason for the test delay through a letter translated in the appropriate languages (English/Spanish and English/Creole), and other languages (when feasible) and a specific timeline for completing the assessment. A copy shall be retained in the individual student's blue folder.

\* Describe the assessment procedures for ELLs in grades 3-12 who scored English proficient on the Listening and Speaking assessment. (Max length 2000)

Students in grades 3-12 take the speaking/listening, reading and writing on the On-line Form 3 CELLA. If they perform at the proficient level on the reading and writing sections, then they do not qualify for ELL services. If they do not score proficient in the reading/writing section, they are placed in the ELL program.

#### Reading and Writing

\* List the Reading and Writing assessment(s) used in the district to ascertain if a student is an **ELL**.

Note: A norm-referenced assessment may report a student's score as a percentile. A score at or below the 32nd percentile on the reading or writing portion of a norm-reference Assessment would qualify a student for entry into the ESOL program.

#### Name of Reading and Writing Assessments:

On-line Form 3 CELLA

\* Describe the procedures to ensure that the Reading and Writing assessment(s) are administered to students in grades 3-12. Describe the procedures to follow when the Reading and Writing assessment(s) are not administered to students in grades 3-12 within the required timeline. (Max length 2000)

Students must be assessed, classified and placed within twenty school days with (On-line Form 3 CELLA). The ELL Contact/designee is responsible for keeping track of students in need of testing and information entered on the TERMS data management system within those twenty school days. The District office tracks through various reports that appropriate placement occurred within set timelines. Schools are reminded prior to the end of the 20-day window that the On-line Form 3 CELLA assessment needs to occur. Documentation of placement should be sent to parents in the language they understand, unless clearly not feasible, no later than 8 weeks after initial placement. A copy shall be retained in the individual student's blue folder.

Schools must not delay the testing more than 20 days but should it occur because of unforeseen circumstances, the school staff (ELL Contact) will provide parents, in writing, the reason for the test delay through a letter translated in the appropriate languages (English/Spanish and English/Creole), and other languages (when feasible) and a specific timeline for completing the assessment. A copy shall be retained in the individual student's blue folder.

#### **ELL Committee**

\* Describe the procedures used when the ELL Committee makes an entry (placement) decision. (Max length 2000)

Include links to form(s) used to document ELL Committee meetings or upload form(s). Note: Forms must include the decision making process in narrative format. (Max file size 1 MB)

Placement is determined through the results of the initial placement testing. However, upon request of a parent or teacher, a placement decision on a student via the ELL Committee meeting may be determined considering the following criteria. The META Consent Decree, Part 1, Section C, indicates that upon request of a parent or teacher, a student not determined to be ELL or a student determined to be may be referred to an ELL committee. Parents may select the instructional model. The following criteria must be gathered for appropriate placement:

- · Extent and nature of prior educational and social experiences;
- Student interview
- Written recommendation and observation by current and previous instructional and support services staff;
- Level of mastery of basic competencies or skills in English and/or home language;
- Grades from the current or previous year(s)

The link to the proper ELL Committee form is: http://www.collierschools.com/ell/docs/AppendixH.pdf

# **SECTION 3: PROGRAMMATIC ASSESSMENT [Rule** 6A-6.0902, FAC]

## Academic/Programmatic Assessment

Describe the procedures to determine the prior academic experience of ELLs. (Max length 2000)

Include links to procedural documents as appropriate or upload document.

The academic level of a student is assessed using the On-line Form 3 CELLA (speaking/listening, reading and writing) and FCAT reading scores, as well as records or grade reports from previous schools. Student records are requested by calling previous schools or through FASTER. In the event that school records are not available, the ELL Contact or designee will interview the student and the parents to determine the previous educational experiences of the individual. The classroom teacher will use further diagnostic instruments such as FAIR, skill inventory/benchmark assessments, portfolios, etc., to assess content area skill level and English proficiency levels.

Placement will occur according to at least two or more of the following criteria in addition to the district required placement requirements.

- Extent and nature of prior educational and social experiences
- Student interview
- Written recommendation and observation by current and previous instructional and support services staff
- Level of mastery of basic competencies or skills in English and/or home Language.
- · Grades from the current or previous years

Describe the procedures to address the placement of ELLs with limited or no prior school experience(s), or whose prior school records are incomplete or unobtainable. Include actions taken to obtain student records. (Max length 2000)

The school personnel will request records from previous schools using the district Request of Records from and/or FASTER (if in Florida). In the event that records of previous schools are not available, the ELL Contact or designee will interview the student and the parents to determine the previous educational experiences of the individual. The classroom teacher will use programmatic assessment through further diagnostic instruments such as FAIR, skill inventory assessments, portfolios, etc., to assess content area skill level and English proficiency levels. Age-appropriate placement will occur according to the district's standards.

#### Grade Level and Course Placement Procedures – Grades K-8

Describe the procedures to determine appropriate grade level placement for ELLs. (Max length 2000)

Grade level placement decisions are made by the ELL Contact, guidance counselors and/or designee. An ELL Committee may intervene when necessary. The academic level of the student is assessed using the placement scores, as well as records or grade reports from previous schools. In the event that records of previous schools are not available, the ELL Contact or designee will interview the student and the parents to determine the previous educational experiences. The classroom teacher will use further diagnostic instruments such as FAIR, skill inventory/benchmark assessments, portfolios, etc., to assess proficiency and skill levels.

#### Grade Level and Course Placement Procedures - Grades 9-12

Describe the procedures to determine appropriate grade and course/class placement. Descriptions must include the process used for awarding credit to ELLs entering high school (9th-12th grades), who have earned credits in countries outside of the United States, but with no documentation. Also, for students transferring from other countries, include the process for awarding credits for language arts courses taken in the student's native language and for world language courses (this may include English). (Max length 2000)

The District School Board of Collier County personnel seek to document the prior schooling experiences of new students by means of school records, transcripts and other evidence of educational experiences, and take such experiences into account in planning and providing appropriate instruction to ELL students. Transcripts are reviewed and evaluated by the school guidance counselors and/or district translators for accuracy. Language Arts credit will be awarded if a student took a Language course in their heritage language. Such course will be considered a transfer credit for the English Language Arts course in the United States. Credit will also be awarded for content area courses taken in their native countries and validated according to the credit formula provided in the transcripts and in the district translation/interpretation binder. The District verifies a student's prior attendance and grade level within or outside the state, at the time of admission. Such verification is required prior to a student's progression to the

next grade level. In the absence of any verification, the student will be programmatically assessed to determine proficiency and grade skill level.

Please provide a link and page number if this information is explained in the Student Progression Plan. (Max length 2000)

http://www.collierschools.com/student\_services/info.asp

#### Re-evaluation of ELLs that Previously Withdrew from the School/District

Describe the procedures for re-evaluating ELLs who have withdrawn from or left the district and are re-enrolling. Include the timeline between the ELLs' withdrawal and re-enrollment when a new English language proficiency assessment is to be administered. (Max length 2000)

Records of ELLs who leave the district for another state or country during the school year are kept and students are re-evaluated after they have been gone for a period of at least five calendar months. Upon returning, students go through the re-evaluation process. The ELL Contact is responsible for following the steps below as part of the re-evaluation process:

• Re-assess: Administer the on-line CELLA Form 3 test (grades K-12) and follow the cut off scores to determine level of proficiency. The following components will be used for prescreening prior to recommending whether the student qualifies to continue in the program or exit based on the test scores and FCAT Reading (grades 3-12), grades from the returning school (if any), transcripts, parent interview, student interview, and teacher recommendations.\*The ELL entry date remains the same.

### ELL Student Plan Development

Describe the procedures for developing the Student ELL Plan. Include the teacher(s) role and/or title of the person responsible for developing the plan. Also include a description of when and how the plan is updated to reflect the student's current services. (Max length 2000)

The ELL Student Plan includes the following elements: student's name (first/last), address, phone, home language survey date, classification date. basis of entry, entry date, plan date, re-evaluation date, exit date, basis of exit, reclassification date, reclassification of services, test date (entry/exit), test date, test ID, raw score, grade equivalent, test description, level of proficiency, school, grade, subtest, ELL Conference Committee Log, re-evaluation for extension of a 4th, 5th or 6th year matrix codes, student's schedule of instructional program, code for purpose of the conference, state assessment date and follow-up reviews. (See Appendix C for ELL Educational Plan) http://www.collierschools.com/ell/docs/AppendixC.pdf

This is an electronic computer generated copy for each individual student based on the individual schedule and plan.

The ELL Contact and/or guidance counselor is responsible for developing the ELL Student Plan. The district's guidelines for placement link ESOL courses to grade level and level of language proficiency. Determination of placement can occur through diagnostic testing, transcript review, age appropriate consideration and in some instances may involve the ELL Committee. The plan is updated by the ELL Contact at the site when there is a decision made on an individual that

impacts the academic schedule. After the changes have been made, the ELL Education Plan is printed from the computer with the updates and placed in the student's ELL record "blue" folder.

Describe the elements of the plan (e.g., home-school communication, student schedules and classes, progress monitoring, interventions, assessments and other evaluations). (Max length 2000)

The academic level of a student is assessed using the On-line Form 3 CELLA (speaking/listening, reading and writing) and FCAT reading scores, as well as records or grade reports from previous schools. Student records are requested by calling previous schools or through FASTER. In the event that school records are not available, the ELL Contact or designee will interview the student and the parents to determine the previous educational experiences of the individual. The classroom teacher will use further diagnostic instruments such as FAIR, skill inventory/benchmark assessments, portfolios, etc., to assess content area skill level and English proficiency levels.

Placement will occur according to at least two or more of the following criteria in addition to the district required placement requirements.

- Extent and nature of prior educational and social experiences
- Student interview
- Written recommendation and observation by current and previous instructional and support services staff
- Level of mastery of basic competencies or skills in English and/or home language
- Grades from the current or previous years
  Grade level placement decisions are made by the ELL Contact, guidance
  counselors and/or designee. An ELL Committee may intervene when necessary.
  The academic level of the student is assessed using the placement scores, as
  well as records or grade reports from previous schools. In the event that records
  of previous schools are not available, the ELL Contact or designee will interview
  the student and the parents to determine the previous educational experiences.
  The classroom teacher will use further diagnostic instruments such as FAIR, skill
  inventory/benchmark assessments, portfolios, etc., to assess proficiency and
  skill levels. \*Test results, programmatic assessment and an ELL Committee if applicable.

Provide the link to the Student ELL Plan form, or upload as appropriate. (Max length 2000)

http://www.collierschools.com/ell/docs/AppendixC.pdf

## SECTION 4: COMPREHENSIVE PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS AND STUDENT INSTRUCTION

#### Instructional Models

In addition to using required ESOL strategies by teachers who teach ELLs, what instructional model(s) or approach(es) are used to ensure comprehensible instruction? Descriptions of each model appear in the current FDOE Database Manuals,

http://www.fldoe.org/eias/dataweb/database 1213/144145.pdf. (Check all that apply.)

- · Sheltered English
- Sheltered Core/Basic Subject Areas
- Mainstream/Inclusion English
- Mainstream/Inclusion Core/ Basic Subject Areas

Describe how the instructional models are used in the district. Include procedures to locally monitor fidelity for each instructional model at the school level. (Max length 2000)

- Sheltered (English Model)-Instruction is aligned to areas of knowledge, standards, and benchmarks of the revised Reading and Language Arts State Standards. Additional academic support provides for enrichment and remediation in the areas of reading and language development. The teacher is ESOL certified, ESOL endorsed, or working towards it.
- 2. Sheltered (Core/Content Area or Basic Student Area) Modei-(Code=S) ELLs with limited English proficiency levels (beginning) and low (low intermediate) are placed in sheltered classes that allow for extensive content-specific vocabulary development. ELLs have equal access to grade level curriculum that is comparable in scope and sequence to that provided to mainstream students. 3. Mainstream/Inclusion English Language Arts-(CODE=I)-ELLs receive the same academic courses/core instruction as the mainstream population through modifications made by teachers. The state requires ALL teachers, regardless of whether they have the endorsement or not, to utilize ESOL strategies. 4. Mainstream/Inclusion-English Model-Core/Basic Subject Areas-(Mathematics, Science, Social Studies, and Computer Literacy) (Code=C)-Middle and high school students receive the same academic courses/core instruction as the mainstream population through modifications made by teachers utilization of ESOL strategies. When an ESOL student is listed on the teacher's class roster, the teacher is ESOL certified, ESOL endorsed, or working towards it. The state requires ALL teachers, regardless of whether they have the endorsement or not, to utilize ESOL strategies and to document their usage via lesson plans. All elementary schools in Collier County utilize the Mainstream Inclusion English/LA Model and the Mainstream/Inclusion-English-Core/Basic Subject Area Model. All middle and high schools in Collier County have either a Sheltered English/LA Model and/or Mainstream/Inclusion-English-LA and Core/Basic Subject Area Model. All high/middle schools have mainstream content courses. All high schools and middle schools offer a sheltered third block of Developmental Language Arts for beginning level LYs with less than one year in the United States.

Upload a list of each school in the district and the school's instructional model(s). (Max file size 1 MB) If all schools in the district use the same instructional model(s), click the box below.

Describe the process to verify that instruction provided to ELLs is equal in amount, sequence, quality, and scope to that provided to non-ELLs. (Max length 2000)

The district follows the same process and timelines used for non-ELL students with ELL students to verify that instruction provided to the ELLs is equal in amount, sequence, and scope to that provided to non-ELL students. Collier County's ELL students are assured that the Sunshine State Standards/Common Core, benchmarks assist in their instructional plan. ELL students receive equal access to the regular curriculum. Existing curriculum maps at the elementary and

Secondary levels guide teacher instruction for ALL students.

Describe the method(s) used in the district by instructional personnel to document the use of ESOL instructional strategies and describe the school level monitoring process used to verify the delivery of comprehensible instruction. (Max length 2000)

In developing the ELL Progress Monitoring Intervention Plan or profile, the ELL Contact ensures that the student will be taught by qualified teachers using appropriate ESOL strategies. To ensure the delivery of comprehensible instruction, teachers of ELL students must document their ESOL strategies in their lesson plans. Elementary, middle and high school administrators use an evaluation tool to ensure that teachers are differentiating their instruction by utilizing ESOL strategies.

How are ELLs assured equal access to all programs and facilities that are available to non-ELLs? (Max length 2000)

The district follows the same process and timelines used for non-ELL students with ELL students to verify that instruction provided to the ELLs is equal in amount, sequence, and scope to that provided to non-ELL students. Collier County's ELL students are assured that the Sunshine State Standards/Common Core, benchmarks assist in their instructional plan. ELL students receive equal access to the regular curriculum. Existing curriculum maps at the elementary and secondary levels guide teacher instruction for ALL students.

What is the title of person(s) responsible for ensuring that all ELLs are provided with comprehensible instruction in the district?

- District Administrator(s)
- School Level Administrator(s)
- Other: ELL School Contacts, District Curriculum Coordinators/Facilitators, Classroom Teachers

What progress monitoring tools are being used to ensure all ELLs are mastering the grade level academic content standards, benchmarks and the English Language Proficiency standards? (Check all that apply.)

- · Student Portfolios
- FCAT Practice Tests
- Other Criterion Reference Test: FAIR/SAT 10 (K-2)
- FCAT
- · Other: Benchmark assessments

#### Student Progression

Have the district's standards and procedures for promotion, placement, and retention of ELLs been incorporated into the district's Student Progression Plan?

Yes

If yes, please provide a link and page number if this information is explained in the Student Progression Plan. (Max length 2000)

www.collierschools.com/student services/info.asp

## SECTION 5: STATEWIDE ASSESSMENT [Rule 6A-6.09091, FAC]

#### Statewide Assessment

Describe the process to ensure that all ELLs participate in Florida's statewide assessment programs (FCAT, CELLA, etc.), and are provided appropriate testing accommodations per test administration requirements. Description should include the title of the school-level person responsible for documenting that each eligible ELL is provided appropriate test accommodations. (Max length 2000)

All students, including ELLs, participate in statewide assessment(s). The District Testing Coordinator is responsible for, and ensures that ALL ELLs participate in statewide assessment(s). The District ESOL Office provides school administrators with a listing of the accommodations, appropriate word to word dictionaries, and procedural training necessary to follow districVstate testing guidelines. An accommodation approval form is sent home to the parents of ELL students in English and the heritage language (when feasible). The ELL Contact documents which ELL students will have flexible setting options and ensures that implementation occurs. The accommodation form is placed in the ELL student's blue folder as a record of the parental choice.

# SECTION 6: ENGLISH LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY ANNUAL ASSESSMENT (EXIT) [Rule 6A-6.0903, FAC]

Describe the procedures to determine if ELLs are ready to exit the district's ESOL program. Description should include exiting procedures for all language domains (listening, speaking, reading and writing), grade-specific procedures, and required cut scores. (Max length 2000)

Students in the ELL program are evaluated for possible exit or extension of services prior to the end of the school year in the ELL program from entry date or based on recommendations made to the ELL Committee by the ELL teacher, classroom teacher or by the student's parents. A final decision is made after testing is completed. The decision may be to extend services or to exit from the program based on test results and ELL Committee decision, if applicable. The extension of instruction shall be provided to all ELLs not satisfying the standards specified in Rule 6A-6.0903. An ELL shall be determined English language proficient and exited from the ESOL program upon obtaining:

- 1. Scores of "proficient" at the applicable grade level on each CELLA subtest administered annually.(listening and speaking, reading and writing) (Grades K-12)
- 2. Scores on applicable Florida Comprehensive Achievement Test (FCAT) in Reading as follows:
- a.) For students in grades K-2, CELLA is the only assessment required and FCAT is not required.

- b.) For students in grades 3-9, an achievement level of 3 or higher on applicable FCAT in Reading; and "proficient" in all areas of the CELLA.
- c.) For students in grades 10-12, a score on the 10th grade FCAT in Reading sufficient to meet applicable graduation requirements, or an equivalent concordant score pursuant to Section 1008.22, F.S. and "proficient" in all areas of the CELLA.

What is the title of person(s) responsible for conducting the exit assessments described above? (Check all that apply.)

- ESOL Teacher/Coordinator
- Other: Teacher, School Test Administrator, Guidance Counselor, ELL Paraprofessional/tutor

What are the district's policies for students who meet exit qualifications in the middle of a student grading period? (Max length 2000)

The district does not recommend exiting the students in the middle of a grading period. Students are tested close to the end of the marking period, and should they qualify to exit, paperwork is prepared and they exit at the end of the marking period. This eases the student's transition into the mainstream classroom.

If the ELL Committee is involved in making exit decisions, what criteria are used by the Committee to determine English proficiency? (Max length 2000)

The ELL Committee makes decisions of exit in cases whereby parents, teachers, or other school members have recommended students for possible exit and the assessments do not reflect the student is prepared for such exit. The ELL Committee is the vehicle whereby additional criteria for exit could be evaluated and further examined for consideration of exit. An ELL Committee meeting is scheduled and parents are invited to be part of the ELL Committee meeting decision. When conducting an ELL Committee the following components are criteria to be used prior to recommending exit in addition to the required testing (CELLA and FCAT (grades 3-12) first, and then utilize two additional criteria:

- a. Teacher recommendation
- b. Student grades (C or above)
- c. Satisfactory Portfolio Assessments
- d. Passing equivalent development scale score by grade level
- e. Proficient in all areas of the CELLA (listening/speaking, reading and writing

## SECTION 7: MONITORING PROCEDURES [Rule 6A-6.0903, FAC]

What is the title of person(s) responsible for conducting the required two-year monitoring of former ELLs (LFs)? Explain how the progress of the former ELLs (LFs) is documented in the ELL Student Plan. Include person responsible for data reporting. (Max length 2000)

The school's ELL Contact or Guidance Counselor is responsible for monitoring the LFs. After exit, the student is monitored for a two year period. A follow up

report was created through our data processing department for use in monitoring the progress of former ELL students (LFs) by reviewing grades and overall performance after 9, 18, 36 and 72 weeks in the regular program. The Data Entry Clerk runs the follow up report every marking period and provides it to the ELL Contact/Guidance Counselor for review as part of the process. The ELL Contact/Guidance Counselor reviews and enters appropriate information on the form. The Data Entry Clerk enters the information on TERMS.

What documentation is used to monitor the student's progress? (Check all that apply.)

- Report Cards
- Test Scores
- Classroom Performance
- Other: Attendance

What are the procedure(s), including re-classification, when the academic performance of former ELLs (LFs) is not on grade level? (Max length 2000)

If progress is not commensurate with non-ELL peers and on grade level and a pattern of poor performance (Ds and Fs) is developing, a referral will be made to the ELL Committee. The ELL Committee will meet to determine whether or not the student needs additional interventions. LF students may be brought back into the ELL program after review periods (A, B, C, or D) if progress is unsatisfactory. Another reason under special circumstances to evaluate a former ELL student for re-entry into the ELL program may be when they exit and return to their home country, then return to the U.S.A. The ELL Contact and/or designee is responsible for initiating a new ELL Student Plan and the Data Entry Clerk is responsible for updating the student data on TERMS software. The ELL Contact communicates with the parents to inform them of the student's review and possible re-entering into the ELL program. The ELL Contact is responsible for ensuring the appropriate assessment and placement takes place and that individual student's needs are met accordingly. An ELL Committee Conference and Parent Notification Form is sent to the parents after the process is completed and the Data Entry Clerk has updated the student's ELL plan. Parents are involved in the decision making process.

How does the district ensure that schools are implementing the District ELL Plan? (Max length 2000)

The district ELL department meets regularly with the school's ELL Contacts to review the plan and ensure that it is being followed. The district's TERMS software enables each school and all district administrators the ability to track adherence to the ELL Plan.

## SECTION 8: PARENT/GUARDIAN/STUDENT NOTIFICATION AND RIGHTS

Describe the procedures used by school personnel to provide assistance to parents/guardians of ELLs in their home language. (Max length 2000)

Parents of Spanish and Haitian-Creole students are provided translation services upon registration, during ELL Committee meetings and during parent/teacher conferences. Additionally, all essential school documents are translated to Spanish and Haitian-Creole at the district level and provided to the schools to be disseminated to parents. On-site bilingual tutors and para-professionals (English/Spanish and English/Haitian-Creole) have the responsibility of interpreting/translating during any of these events. Parents are also invited to ELL Committee meetings via phone or via a letter in the native language. When the school does not have a bilingual Haitian-Creole interpreter and there is still need to assist parents, the district office translator/interpreter sets an appointment and assists in the translation process. Every effort is made to provide translations for parents of other languages when feasible.

Describe parent outreach activities that inform parents of how they can be involved in their children's education and how they can assist their children to learn English and meet State content and academic achievement standards. (Max length 2000)

Parents of Spanish and Haitian-Creole students are provided translation services upon registration, during ELL Committee meetings and during parent/teacher conferences. Additionally, all essential school documents are translated to Spanish and Haitian-Creole at the district level and provided to the schools to be disseminated to parents. On-site bilingual tutors and para-professionals (English/Spanish and English/Haitian-Creole) have the responsibility of interpreting/translating during any of these events. Parents are also invited to ELL Committee meetings via phone or via a letter in the native language. When the school does not have a bilingual Haitian-Creole interpreter and there is still need to assist parents, the district office translator/interpreter sets an appointment and assists in the translation process. Every effort is made to provide translations for parents of other languages when feasible.

Check the school-to-home communications that are sent by the district or school to parents/guardians of ELLs in a language the parents/guardians understand or provide URLs: (Check all that apply.)

- · Delay in language proficiency testing
- Results of language proficiency assessment
- Program placement
- Program delivery model options(s)
- State and/or district testing
- · Accommodations for testing (flexible setting)
- Annual testing for language development
- Growth in language proficiency (Listening, Speaking, Reading, Writing)
- Retention/Remediation
- Transition to regular classes
- Extension of ESOL instruction
- Exit from ESOL program
- · Post-reclassification of former ELLs (LFs) monitoring
- Reclassification of former ELLs (LFs)
- Invitation to participate in an ELL Committee Meeting
- Invitation to participate in the Parent Leadership Council (PLC)
- Special programs such as Gifted, ESE, dual enrollment, Pre-K, adult secondary

courses, vocational education, magnet, charter schools, SES programs, and student support activities

- Free/reduced price lunch
- o Parental choice options, school improvement status, and teacher out-of-field notices
- Registration forms and requirements
- Disciplinary forms
- · Information about the Sunshine State Standards and the ELP Standards
- o Information about community services available to parents
- Information about opportunities for parental involvement (volunteering, PLC, PTA/PTO, SAC)

http://www.collierschools.com/parents/

# SECTION 9: THE PARENT LEADERSHIP COUNCIL [Rule 6A-6.0904, FAC]

What type(s) of PLCs exist in the district? (Check all that apply.)

District Level

Describe the functions and composition of the PLC. (Max length 2000)

The PLC reviews district plans, offers suggestions, and makes informed decisions on what works best for the students. Their valuable input helped develop our district ELL Plan by providing feedback on best practices in Collier County schools.

The PLC is "composed in the majority of parents of limited English proficient students." If the PLCs in the district do not meet this condition, explain why and when compliance with the rule is expected. (Max length 2000)

The district PLC is composed representatives of each sub-group with the majority being parents of English Language Learners.

How does the district involve the PLC in other district/school committees? (Max length 2000)

All parents are informed of the opportunities that are available at the school/district level via the district's TV channel, website, school newsletters in English, Spanish and Haitian-Creole, as well as though parent trainings. Bilingual staff and district translators encourage participation in all family involvement activities provided through schools and districts. All parents have the opportunity to participate if they so choose.

How is the district PLC involved in the development of the District ELL Plan? (Max length 2000)

The group reviewed the proposed rule changes and the impact on the District ELL Plan. They thought the "proficiency" requirement in all subtests of the CELLA made sense and liked how the ELL Committee gives the parents the right to determine student services based on achievement and need in addition to test scores.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Report Cards

Case 2:16-cv-00379-SPC-MRM Document 30-1 Flied 08/08/16 Page 21 of 25 PageID 295

Yes

http://appl.fldoe.org/aalalellP!an/print/entire-application.aspx

If no, upload a summary of the PLC's concerns. (Max length 2000)

3/8/2013

## **SECTION 10: PERSONNEL TRAINING [Rule 6A-6.0907, FAC]**

Describe how teachers who are required to obtain ESOL training or certification are notified of training requirements and opportunities. Include the title of person(s) responsible for issuing the notifications and how the notification process is documented. (Max length 2000)

The district has an extensive information management system, TERMS, which allows for the identification of personnel in ALL schools. The information management system allows for the collection of ELL student and teacher data. The secretary updates this system *every* time that ESOL courses are offered. When teachers are assigned ELL students, they are identified as in need of the ESOL training unless they have evidence of completion of the courses. Monthly reports are provided to each school which indicates which staff members have completed the ESOL training requirement.

Describe the process(es) to track teachers' completion of ESOL training and/or certification requirements and include how documentation of completion is maintained. (Max length 2000)

The district has an extensive information management system, TERMS, which allows for the identification of personnel in ALL schools. The information management system allows for the collection of ELL student and teacher data. The secretary updates this system *every* time that ESOL courses are offered. When teachers are assigned ELL students, they are identified as in need of the ESOL training unless they have evidence of completion of the courses. Monthly reports are provided to each school which indicates which staff members have completed the ESOL training requirement.

Describe the procedures used when teachers are reported out of field, including compliance procedures and claiming FTE. (Max length 2000)

The District Human Resources Department has developed an accountability system to ensure that all new hires attend an orientation meeting. Sign-in sheets are kept on file to demonstrate that participants have attended this training. At the new hire meetings, teachers are informed of the ESOL training requirements. They must sign a letter indicating that they are committed to taking such training within the required state mandated timeline. In addition to the orientation meeting, the ELL department sends four electronic reports per year to the school principals and ELL Contact. These quarterly reports inform personnel as to their status in meeting ESOL training requirements.

Describe how the district provides the 60-hour ESOL training requirement for school-based administrators, and the district's tracking system. (Max length 2000)

The district's ELL teacher trainer provides training for administrators throughout the school year. A plan has been established and it is constantly monitored and followed. Administrators hired after August 5, 2004 have the option of taking the 60 hour course with the district or through a university. The

secretary keeps records on training provided and updates TERMS just as she does with the teacher training. The ELL Contacts and school administrators receive the teacher training report updates from TERMS on a quarterly basis.

Describe how the district provides the 60-hour ESOL training requirements for Guidance Counselors, and the district's tracking system. (Max length 2000)

The district's ELL teacher trainer provides training for Guidance Counselors throughout the school year. A plan has been established and it is constantly monitored and followed. The secretary keeps records on training provided and updates TERMS just as she does with the teacher training. The ELL Contacts and school administrators receive the teacher training report updates from TERMS on a quarterly basis.

If instruction is provided in a language other than English, describe the procedures that are used to assess teachers' proficiency in the other language and in English. (Max length 2000)

Bilingual teachers must have at least a bachelor's degree and certification in the area of teaching. A teacher's proficiency in another language is assessed by a fluent speaker of the language during the interview process at the school or district level.

A bilingual paraprofessional or teacher is required at schools having 15 or more ELLs who speak the same language. Describe the eligibility qualifications required by the district for bilingual paraprofessionals, and describe in detail the job description and primary assignment. (Max length 2000)

Provide the link for the district's bilingual paraprofessional job description, or upload the job description.

Bilingual paraprofessionals must have at a minimum, a high school diploma, be fluent in native language for which he/she is hired, and must attend ongoing required district training. Bilingualism of the individual is evaluated by a native speaker of the language during the interview process. The bilingual tutor's primary assignment is working with students in classrooms to provide language access and academic support. Bilingual paraprofessionals assist in translations, assessment of ELL students, parent conferences, ELL Committee meetings, and placement/exit of ELL students. In addition, paraprofessionals assist counselors and/or ELL Contacts in the record keeping process. Link to the job description: http://www.collier.k12.fl.us/hr/staffing/pdf/Bilinguai%20Para-Professional.pdf

Describe district procedures for training bilingual paraprofessionals in ESOL or home languages strategies. Include how documentation of training is maintained. (Max length 2000)

Paraprofessionals and bilingual tutors are required at least 18 hours of ESOL inservice training. There is no set timeline for completing the 18 hours. However, they are required to continue receiving training on a yearly basis. The district provides a minimum of three hours of training sessions for the paraprofessionals and bilingual tutors. Additionally, ELL tutors receive on-site training from the ELL Contact and/or ELL resource teachers.

These sessions include, but are not limited to, ESOL strategies, providing efficient translating, diversity issues, second language acquisition, reading and writing strategies, test-taking strategies as well as other district relevant training. All bilingual tutors and paraprofessionals are required to register in HERO for district provided training. Upon completion of the training sessions, the sign-in sheets document the attendance and that information is entered on TERMS. A report is updated with the information and sent to the principals and ELL Contacts for review on a quarterly basis.

Describe the procedures to determine the bilingual paraprofessional's proficiency in the target language. (Max length 2000)

Bilingual tutors and paraprofessionals schools must have a minimum of a high school diploma, be fluent in native language for which he/she is hired, and must attend on-going required district training. ELL tutors and paraprofessionals working in Title I and Title III schools must have at least an Associate degree from an accredited university or have passed the paraprofessional district test. Bilingualism of the individual is evaluated by a native speaker of the language during the interview process. Individual schools administer a test as part of the interview process where the interviewee has to translate a written letter. This translation is evaluated by the District Translation Manager for proficiency and accuracy.

## **SECTION 11: Extension of Services [Rule 6A-6.09022, FAC]**

Describe district procedures used to determine Extension of Services. (Max length 2000)

Any student being considered for extension of service shall be assessed at least one department-approved assessment instrument. The assessment shall be administered no earlier than 30 school days prior to the student's DEUSS(date first entered a United States School) date. If the student's anniversary date falls between the administration of the CELLA a given school year and October 1st of the following school year, the student's CELLA and applicable FCAT Reading scores will suffice, and a more recent assessment is not required. Parents/guardians and selected school staff need to be invited to the ELL Committee meeting. Communication to the parents/guardians may be in the form of a phone call and/or letter. A copy of the letter of invitation to the Parent/Guardian Conference must be in the student's blue folder. For all other anniversary dates, the student must take the on-line CELLA Form 3 test for the appropriate grade level. In addition to the test scores, at least two summarized criteria items for extending ESOL services must be provided:

- -Grade level mastery of basic competencies
- -Written recommendation/observation by current/previous staff
- -Grades from the current school year

The results of the assessment(s), and the student's academic performance is discussed during the ELL Committee meeting to determine either extension or exit from the ELL program.

Listening and Speaking Proficiency Assessment

List the Listening and Speaking (Aural/Oral) assessments used in the district to determine if a student is English proficient for Extension of Services. Also, state the publisher's cut-score that determines if the student is proficient.

Name of Listening and Speaking Assessment(s): INDICATE **THE** CUT SCORE USED FOR EXIT

DETERMINATION BY TYPE OF SCORE

			National Percentile
On-line Form 3 CELLA	K-2	673	
On-line Form 3 CELLA	3-5	720	
On-line Form 3 CELLA	6-8	733	
On-line Form 3 CELLA	9-12	739	

- (1) A raw score represents the number of points a student received for correctly answering questions on a test.
- (2) A scale score is a raw score that has been converted to a scale. The conversion table provided by test publisher should be used to report the scale score, if the test results are not provided in terms of a scale score.
- (3) A national percentile is the percentile rank provided by a national norm-referenced test that indicates the percentage of a referenced group obtaining scores equal to or less than the score achieved by an individual.

## Reading and Writing Proficiency Assessment

List the Reading and Writing assessment(s) used in the district to determine if a student is English proficient for Extension of Services.

Name of Reading and Writing Assessments:

On-line Form 3 CELLA

# Exhibit 2

Select Language ▼

# The School Board of Collier County Bylaws & Policies

## 5112.01 - MAXIMUM AGE FOR PARTICIPATION IN THE REGULAR HIGH SCHOOL PROGRAM

#### The two paragraphs below will no longer be in effect after July 31, 2013.

In order to provide reasonable consistency of maturity levels among students in the regular high school program, no person shall be permitted to attend the regular high school program after attaining the age of twenty-one (21). Those who attain the age of twenty-one (21) during a school year may complete that school year. Persons who are eighteen (18) years old or older and who, by earning eight (8) credits per academic year, cannot meet graduation requirements, including grade point average (GPA), prior to the end of the school year during which they attain the age of twenty-one (21), shall not be permitted to attend the regular high school program beyond the end of the academic year in which they attain the age of eighteen (18). Such persons shall be afforded an opportunity to pursue a high school diploma through the Adult High School or General Educational Development (GED) programs of the District. The provisions of this paragraph limiting enrollment of students between the ages of eighteen (18) and twenty-one (21) years shall not be automatically applied to students served by the District's Exceptional Student Education Programs for students with disabilities. The provisions of this paragraph may, however, serve as guidelines for Staffing/IEP Committees as the educational needs of students with disabilities are individually considered. The District will provide services to students with disabilities until the end of the semester in which they turn twenty-two (22).

In order to protect the safety and welfare of younger students, principals may refuse enrollment in the regular high school program of those persons who have had a history of disruptive behavior in the school setting, who have attained the age of eighteen (18) years, and who have previously dropped out of the regular high school program. Such persons shall be afforded the opportunity to pursue a high school diploma through the Adult High School or General Educational Development (GED) programs of the District. The provisions of this paragraph shall not apply to students who are classified as exceptional students.

#### The two (2) paragraphs below shall go into effect on August 1, 2013.

In order to provide reasonable consistency of maturity levels among students in the regular high school program, no person shall be permitted to attend the regular high school program after attaining the age of nineteen (19). Those who attain the age of nineteen (19) during a school year may complete that school year. Persons who are seventeen (17) years old or older and who, by earning eight (8) credits per academic year, cannot meet graduation requirements, including grade point average (GPA), prior to the end of the school year during which they attain the age of nineteen (19), shall not be permitted to attend the regular high school program beyond the end of the academic year in which they attain the age of seventeen (17). Such persons shall be afforded an opportunity to pursue a high school diploma through the Adult High School or General Educational Development (GED) programs of the District. The provisions of this paragraph limiting enrollment of students between the ages of eighteen (18) and twenty-one (21) years shall not be automatically applied to students served by the District's Exceptional Student Education Programs for students with disabilities. The provisions of this paragraph may, however, serve as guidelines for Staffing/IEP Committees as the educational needs of students with disabilities are individually considered.

In order to protect the safety and welfare of younger students, principals may refuse enrollment in the regular high school program of those persons who have had a history of disruptive behavior in the school setting, who have attained the age of sixteen (16) years, and have filed a formal declaration of intent to terminate enrollment with the District School Board, in accordance with statute, and are seeking to reenroll in school. Such persons

5112.01 - MAXIMUM AGE FOR PARTICIPATION IN THE REGULAR HIGH SCHO... Page 2 of 2 Case 2:16-cv-00379-SPC-MRM Document 30-2 Filed 08/08/16 Page 3 of 3 PageID 302

shall be afforded the opportunity to pursue a high school diploma through the Adult High School or General Educational Development (GED) programs of the District. The provisions of this paragraph shall not apply to students who are classified as exceptional students.

F.S. 1003.21(1)(c)

Revised 2/12/13

© Collier 2013