# **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	<u>·</u>			DEFENDAN	TS						
(b) County of Residence of (E)  (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A)	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.  Attorneys (If Known)										
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF	F PRIN	ICIPA	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	ı One Box f	or Plaintif	
☐ 1 U.S. Government ☐ 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)				(For Diversity Cases On en of This State	$\begin{array}{c c} and \ One \ Box \ for \ Defendant) \\ \hline PTF & DEF \\ \hline Incorporated \ or \ Principal \ Place \\ of \ Business \ In \ This \ State \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Citizen of Another State						□ 5	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	[ (N "V" : 0 N 0	L		en or Subject of a oreign Country	□ 3	<b>1</b> 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6	
CONTRACT		RTS	F	ORFEITURE/PENALT	Y	BA	NKRUPTCY	OTHER	STATUT	ES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY  □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &  □ PRSONAL INJURY □ 365 Personal Injury □ Product Liability □ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical		1	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other  LABOR ☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act ☐ 720 Labor/Management Relations ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION ☐ 462 Naturalization Application			□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark  SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609		OTHER STATUTES  □ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC		
Proceeding Sta	moved from 3 te Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	Appellate Court tute under which you a	Reo	(spe	other Dis ecify)	trict	☐ 6 Multidist Litigation				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:  Brief description of cause:  CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			N D	DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  JURY DEMAND:						nt:	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			I	OOCK	ET NUMBER				
DATE		TORNEY (	OF RECORD								
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY											
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGI	Е		MAG. JU	DGE			

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

WEST VIRGINIA HIGHLANDS CONSERVANCY, WEST VIRGINIA RIVERS COALITION, and SIERRA CLUB

Plaintiffs,

RANDY C. HUFFMAN, Secretary, West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection

Defendants.

# **COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

#### INTRODUCTION

- 1. This is a citizen's suit for declaratory and injunctive relief against Randy Huffman, in his official capacity as the Secretary of the West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection ("WVDEP"), for violations of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1251 *et seq.* ("Clean Water Act") or ("CWA") at two bond forfeiture sites in West Virginia.
- 2. As described below, Plaintiffs allege that Defendant has discharged and continues to discharge pollutants into waters of the United States in violation of Section 301 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311, and the conditions of the West Virginia/National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("WV/NPDES") Permits issued to the Defendant pursuant to Section 402 of the Clean Water Act.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under Section 505(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a).
- 4. On January 22, 2016, Plaintiffs gave notice of the violations and their intent to file suit to the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") to the Regional Administrator of EPA's Region III Office, and to Defendant as required by section 505(b)(1)(A) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(b)(1)(A).
- 5. More than 60 days have passed since notice was served and EPA has not commenced and is not diligently prosecuting a civil or criminal action to redress the violations. In addition, EPA did not commence an administrative civil penalty action under Section 309(g)(6) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(6), to redress the violations prior to the issuance of the January 22, 2016 notice letter.
- 6. Venue is appropriate in this District pursuant to Section 505(c)(1) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(c)(1) because the sources of the violations are located within this District in Nicholas County, West Virginia.

#### **PARTIES**

7. Defendant Randy Huffman is the Secretary of the West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection. He is responsible for the agency's compliance with the CWA and administers the EPA-approved WV/NPDES program under Section 402 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1342(b) and 46 C.S.R. series 10. He also has a mandatory, non-discretionary duty to utilize money from WVDEP's Special Reclamation Fund to treat water pollution, specifically including acid mine drainage, at coal mining sites where reclamation bonds have been forfeited and the proceeds of those bonds are less than the actual costs of reclamation. 38 C.S.R. § 2-12.4.d; 67 Fed. Reg. 37612.

- 8. Plaintiff West Virginia Highlands Conservancy, Inc. ("WVHC") is a nonprofit organization incorporated in West Virginia. It has approximately 1,500 members. It works for the conservation and wise management of West Virginia's natural resources, and is one of West Virginia's oldest environmental activist organizations. The West Virginia Highlands Conservancy is dedicated to protecting our clean air, clean water, forests, streams, mountains, and the health and welfare of the people who live here and for those who visit to recreate.
- 9. Plaintiff West Virginia Rivers Coalition makes its mission the conservation and restoration of West Virginia's exceptional rivers and streams. It not only seeks preservation of high quality waters, but also the improvement of waters that should be of high quality. It has approximately 2,500 members.
- 10. Plaintiff Sierra Club is a nonprofit corporation incorporated in California, with more than 600,000 members and supporters nationwide, including approximately 2,000 members who reside in West Virginia and belong to its West Virginia Chapter. The Sierra Club is dedicated to exploring, enjoying and protecting wild places of the Earth; to practicing and promoting the responsible use of Earth's resources and ecosystems; to educating and enlisting humanity to protect and restore the quality of the natural and human environment; and to using all lawful means to carry out these objectives. The Sierra Club's concerns encompass the exploration, enjoyment and protection of surface water in West Virginia.
- 11. Plaintiffs have members, including James Tawney, who use, enjoy, and benefit from the water quality on Lilly Branch, Libertybowl Branch, Twentymile Creek, Buffalo Creek, and the Elk and Gauley Rivers. They would like to recreate in areas downstream from the portion of streams into which Defendant discharges pollutants harmful to aquatic life, including iron, acidity (measured as pH), nitrogen ammonia, and suspended solids. Excessive amounts of

these pollutants degrade the water quality of these streams and make the water aesthetically and environmentally undesirable and also impair their suitability for aquatic life. Because of this pollution, Plaintiffs' members refrain from and/or restrict their usage of these streams and the associated natural resources. As a result, the environmental, health, aesthetic, and recreational interests of these members are adversely affected by Defendant's excessive discharges of these and other pollutants. If Defendant's unlawful discharges ceased, the harm to the interests of Plaintiffs' members would be redressed. Injunctions would redress Plaintiffs' members' injuries by preventing and/or deterring future violations of the limits of Defendant's permits.

12. At all relevant times, Plaintiffs were and are "persons" as that term is defined by the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(5).

#### STATUTORY AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

- 13. Section 301(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a), prohibits the "discharge of any pollutant by any person" into waters of the United States, except in compliance with the terms of a permit, such as a NPDES permit issued by EPA, or an authorized state pursuant to Section 402 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1342.
- 14. Section 402(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1342(a), provides that the permit-issuing authority may issue a NPDES permit that authorizes the discharge of any pollutant directly into waters of the United States, upon the condition that such discharge will meet all applicable requirements of the CWA, and such other conditions as the permitting authority determines necessary to carry out the provisions of the CWA.
- 15. The Administrator of EPA authorized WVDEP, pursuant to Section 402(a)(2) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342(a)(2), to issue NPDES permits on May 10, 1982. 47 Fed. Reg. 22363.

The applicable law for issuing NPDES permits in West Virginia is the Water Pollution Control Act, W.Va. Code § 22-11-1, et seq.

- 16. Section 505(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a) authorizes any "citizen" to "commence a civil action on his own behalf . . . against any person . . . who is alleged to be in violation of . . . an effluent standard or limitation under this chapter."
- 17. Section 505(f) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(f), defines and "effluent standard or limitation under this chapter," for purposes of the citizen suit provision of section 505(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a), to mean, among other things, an unlawful act under Section 301(a), 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a), of the CWA and "a permit or condition thereof issued" under Section 402, 33 U.S.C. § 1342, of the CWA.
- 18. Pursuant to Section 505(d) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(d), the court "may award costs of litigation (including reasonable attorney and expert witness fees) to any prevailing or substantially prevailing party, whenever the court determines such an award is appropriate."

## **FACTS**

- 19. Defendant has operational responsibility to treat discharges of acid mine drainage at bond forfeiture sites where reclamation of mined areas has not been completed.
  - 20. Defendant holds NPDES permits on the following bond forfeiture sites:

WV/NPDES	Effective	Site	County	Receiving Waters/Watershed
No.	Date			
WV1027841	2/27/14	Chicopee Coal	Nicholas	Big HI/Lilly Branch/ Twentymile
		_		Creek/Gauley River
WV1027823	2/27/15	Summersville 5	Nicholas	Libertybowl Branch/Buffalo
		Block		Creek/Elk River

21. The NPDES permit for each site limits the amount and concentration of pollutants that Defendant may discharge from its operations into each receiving stream.

22. The following table identifies the data and nature of each violation, self-reported by the Defendant at each of these sites:

eDMR	Month	NPDES #	Facility	Outlet	Para-	Result	Units	Limit	Type
21815	4/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	2	meter pH	5.5	SU	6	Min
21815	4/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	5	рН	4.6	SU	6	Min
21815	4/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	7	рН	4.6	SU	6	Min
21815	4/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	9	рН	4.6	SU	6	Min
21815	4/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	12	рН	5.3	SU	6	Min
21816	5/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	2	рН	5.2	SU	6	Min
21816	5/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	5	рН	5.4	SU	6	Min
21816	5/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	7	рН	4.6	SU	6	Min
21816	5/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	9	рН	4.7	SU	6	Min
21816	5/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	12	рН	5.4	SU	6	Min
22062	6/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	12	рН	4.3	SU	6	Min
22062	6/1/2015	WV1027841 WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	2	рН	4.3	SU	6	Min
22062	6/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	5	рН	4.6	SU	6	Min
22062	6/1/2015	WV1027841 WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	7	рН	4.4	SU	6	Min
22062	6/1/2015	WV1027841 WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	9	рН	4.4	SU	6	Min
23188	7/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	2	рН	5.7	SU	6	Min
23188	7/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	5	рН	5.7	SU	6	Min
23188	7/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	7	рН	4.7	SU	6	Min
23188	7/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	9	рН	4.6	SU	6	Min
23287	8/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	4	рН	5.4	SU	6	Min
23287	8/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	5	рН	4.4	SU	6	Min
23287	8/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	7	pН	4.3	SU	6	Min
23287	8/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	9	pН	4.3	SU	6	Min
23287	8/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	12	pН	5.8	SU	6	Min
24042	9/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	4	pН	5.3	SU	6	Min
24042	9/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	5	рН	3.7	SU	6	Min
24042	9/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	7	pН	3.6	SU	6	Min
24042	9/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	9	pН	3.8	SU	6	Min
24042	9/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	12	pН	5.2	SU	6	Min
24761	10/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	4	pН	4.2	SU	6	Min
24761	10/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	5	pН	3.5	SU	6	Min
24761	10/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	7	pН	3.7	SU	6	Min
24761	10/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	9	pН	3.4	SU	6	Min
24761	10/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	12	pН	5.3	SU	6	Min
24762	11/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	5	pН	4.29	SU	6	Min
24762	11/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	7	pН	3.47	SU	6	Min
24762	11/1/2015	WV1027841	Chicopee Coal	9	pН	5.36	SU	6	Min

21799	4/1/2015	WV1028723	Summersville	1	nII.	4.4	SU	6	Min
21/99	4/1/2013	W V 1028/23	5 Block	1	pН	4.4	30	0	IVIIII
21799	4/1/2015	WV1028723	Summersville 5 Block	3	pН	4.8	SU	6	Min
21800	5/1/2015	WV1028723	Summersville 5 Block	1	рН	4.4	SU	6	Min
21800	5/1/2015	WV1028723	Summersville 5 Block	3	рН	4.6	SU	6	Min
22052	6/1/2015	WV1028723	Summersville 5 Block	1	рН	4.6	SU	6	Min
22052	6/1/2015	WV1028723	Summersville 5 Block	3	pН	4.6	SU	6	Min
23174	7/1/2015	WV1028723	Summersville 5 Block	1	pН	4.4	SU	6	Min
23174	7/1/2015	WV1028723	Summersville 5 Block	3	pН	5.3	SU	6	Min
23282	8/1/2015	WV1028723	Summersville 5 Block	1	pН	3.8	SU	6	Min
23282	8/1/2015	WV1028723	Summersville 5 Block	3	рН	3.9	SU	6	Min
24009	9/1/2015	WV1028723	Summersville 5 Block	1	pН	3.3	SU	6	Min
24009	9/1/2015	WV1028723	Summersville 5 Block	3	рН	3.4	SU	6	Min
24716	10/1/2015	WV1028723	Summersville 5 Block	1	рН	3.25	SU	6	Min
27/10	10/1/2013	WV1028723	Summersville	1	pii	3.43	30	0	171111
24716	10/1/2015		5 Block	3	рН	3.48	SU	6	Min
24717	11/1/2015	WV1028723	Summersville 5 Block	1	рН	3.25	SU	6	Min
21/1/	11/1/2013	WV1028723	Summersville	1	PII	3.23	50	0	171111
24717	11/1/2015		5 Block	3	рН	3.79	SU	6	Min

Al Aluminum

Avg Monthly Average

Fe Iron

Max Daily Maximum
N Am Nitrogen, Ammonia
SS Suspended Solids
SU Standard Units

# FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

23. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all allegations included in paragraphs 1 through 22 *supra*.

- 24. Defendant's wastewater discharges identified in the above paragraphs are discharges from a point source or sources into navigable waters of the United States within the meaning of Section 301 of the Clean Water Act, which prohibits the discharge of any pollutant by any person, except in compliance with a permit. 33 U.S.C. § 1311.
- 25. The pollution limits within the Defendant's permits are "effluent standards or limitations" for purposes of Section 505(a)(1) of the Clean Water Act because they are conditions of a permit issued under Section 402 of the Act. 33 U.S.C. § 1365(f).
- 26. Each and every discharge in excess of the effluent limitations in Defendant's NPDES permits is actionable under Section 505(a)(1) of the Clean Water Act. 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a)(1).
- 27. A violation of an average monthly effluent violation is considered to be a violation on each and every day of that month.
  - 28. Unless enjoined, the Defendant will remain in continuing violation of the CWA.
- 29. On information and belief, Plaintiffs allege that Defendant is in continuing and/or intermittent violation of the CWA as a result of its violations of effluent limitations in its NPDES permits because Defendant has taken no meaningful action to eradicate the underlying causes of the violations.

#### RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court enter an Order:

- 1. Declaring that Defendant has violated and continues to violate the CWA;
- 2. Enjoining Defendant from operating its facilities in such a manner as will result in further violations of the effluent limitations in its NPDES permits;

- 3. Ordering Defendant to immediately comply with conditions and effluent limitations within its permits;
- 4. Awarding Plaintiffs their attorney and expert witness fees and all other reasonable expenses incurred in pursuit of this action; and
  - 5. Granting other such relief as the Court deems just and appropriate.

DATED: APRIL 20, 2016 Respectfully submitted,

### /s/ J. Michael Becher

J. MICHAEL BECHER (W. Va. Bar No. 10588) JOSEPH M. LOVETT (W. Va. Bar No. 6926) Appalachian Mountain Advocates PO Box 507 Lewisburg, WV 24901 (304) 382-4798

Counsel for Plaintiffs