



E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS & COMPANY
INCORPORATED
WILMINGTON, DELAWARE 19898

Mason Hayes

CC: F. E. French, Jr., Orchem
M. Hayek, Orchem Tech.
S. E. Krahler, Orchem-Jackson Lab.
J. E. Smith, Orchem Tech.
J. W. Clayton/H. Sherman, Haskell Lab.
J. A. Nelson, Orchem-Jackson Lab.

LEGAL DEPARTMENT

Code 3411 715

March 23, 1966

H. A. LIPS
ORGANIC CHEMICALS DEPARTMENT

FOOD ADDITIVE PETITION NO. 5B1747
"ZONYL" RP PAPER FLUORIDIZER

S. E. Krahler, H. Sherman and the undersigned met with Messrs. Blumenthal, McLaughlin, Orr and Detwiler of FDA on March 22, 1966, to determine whether there is a basis upon which the above Food Additive Petition may be approved.

Initially, we inquired of the FDA officials as to the reasons for not accepting the Petition for filing. They indicated that with respect to compounds with which they are not familiar, two-year feeding studies are the usual standard requirement. In the event ninety-day studies are utilized, they now look for a no-effect level of 1,000. The migration data which we had submitted indicated that approximately 1 ppm might migrate into the food and, therefore, FDA would require no effect at the 1,000 ppm level. The toxicity data at the 1,000 ppm level did show some enlargement of livers, although unaccompanied by histological changes. Such an enlargement is considered an "effect" by FDA since, with only the ninety-day studies, they are unwilling to speculate as to whether the effect would increase or decrease after feeding was continued for two years. They indicated that often at the end of ninety days the results look their worst, and that, if continued for two years, the apparently adverse results at ninety days might well appear without significance at the end of two years.

There thus was no basis upon which we could persuade FDA to accept 1 ppm in food on the basis of our ninety-day studies. Since additional toxicity studies would appear to be out of the question for reasons of time and money, we approached the problem on the basis

March 23, 1966

that undoubtedly actual migration would be significantly less than 1 ppm. We presented the revised calculations based on ZONYL RP treatment of 0.25% (OWP) and compared the average ppm extraction of ZONYL RP solids against such extractions based upon 0.50% (OWP) treatment. We basically told them we could live with a regulation limited to .5 ppm extraction. FDA indicated that this would be unsatisfactory.

However, FDA did indicate that .1 ppm probably would be acceptable based upon the toxicity data already submitted. They did not indicate exactly what number would be acceptable but at least we clearly know the limits: .5 ppm is not acceptable and .1 ppm would be acceptable.

Dr. McLaughlin advanced an interesting idea as to what actually is causing the toxic reaction in the compound. He recalled that diethylamine salt has been known to cause increased liver weight without histological change. Dr. Blumenthal confirmed this by reference to toxicity data developed by the Mellon Institute for Union Carbide. Thus it may be that the diethylamine salt rather than the perfluoroalkyl phosphate is the bad actor. If so, FDA would be more inclined to approve our petition since they have some familiarity with diethylamine salts and are reluctant to approve a petition involving perfluoroalkyl phosphates with which they are totally unfamiliar. Also, there is always the possibility that we could eliminate the diethylamine salt, thereby eliminating the toxicity problem. However, we did not indicate that this was a practical alternative since, even if the diethylamine salt were eliminated, we would still have to submit data on the perfluoroalkyl phosphate or else absolutely prove that the diethylamine salt was causing the toxicity.

Our task is now threefold. First, Technical Lab will have to determine the maximum level at which we can expect customers to apply ZONYL to the surface of paperboard. Obviously, this figure will have to be rather precise since we cannot afford to have a level of application any higher than is absolutely necessary for practical commercial use. Secondly, Jackson Lab will then

H. A. LIPS

-3-

March 23, 1966

have to conduct extraction tests based upon the level of application determined by Technical Lab. Probably two or three sets of extraction tests should be run on levels of application close to the figure determined by Technical Lab. These extraction tests need only be run on water and Wesson Oil. Third, we will need a write-up by Haskell as to any ideas they might have on the toxicity of the diethylamine salt.

The above information will then be prepared as a supplement to the original petition and we will no doubt take it down to FDA and review it with them. It is extremely difficult to speculate on the ppm figure which FDA will accept but probably it will not accept anything more than .3. This must be borne in mind in determining levels of application.


RICHARD H. REA

RHR:df

FOR ENCLOSURE

DATE 6/2/71

TO:

J. R. Martin
Jackson Lab. - Room 4124

FROM:

MASON HAYEK
D. & C. Technical Laboratory
Room 215, Ext. 2975

Please Discuss With	For Approval	For Attention	For Information	Note and Forward To File	Note and Return To Sender	Forwarded Per Your Request
---------------------	--------------	---------------	-----------------	--------------------------	---------------------------	----------------------------

The attached table gives the range of extraction conditions. We are working on Condition D and hope to go to B and C.
The letter of 9/20/66 is also attached.

- A. High temperature (a.s. over 200° F.)
- B. Boiling water
- C. Hot filled or pasteurized at 150° F.

- D. Hot filled or pasteurized at 150° F.

- E. Room temperature and stored (no thermal treatment in the container).

- F. Refrigerated storage (no thermal treatment in the container).

- G. Frozen storage (no thermal treatment in the container).

- H. Frozen or refrigerated storage. Ready-prepared foods intended to be reheated in container at time of use:

- 1. Aqueous or oil-in-water emulsion of high or low fat.
- 2. Aqueous, high- or low-fat oil or fat.

I. N. F. V. 1
II. F. V. A. V. 1

TABLE 1—TYPES OF RAW AND PROCESSED FOODS

- I.** Nonacid, aqueous products; may contain salt or sugar or both (pH above 5.0).
- II.** Acid, aqueous products; may contain salt or sugar or both, and including oil-in-water emulsions of low- or high-fat content.
- III.** Aqueous, acid or nonacid products containing free oil or fat; may contain salt, and including water-in-oil emulsions of low- or high-fat content.
- IV.** Dairy products and modifications:
 - A.** Water-in-oil emulsions, high- or low-fat.
 - B.** Oil-in-water emulsions, high- or low-fat.
- V.** Low-moisture fats and oils.
- VI.** Beverages:
 - A.** Containing up to 8 percent of alcohol.
 - B.** Nonalcoholic.
 - C.** Containing more than 8 percent of alcohol.
- VII.** Bakery products other than those included under types VIII or IX of this table:
 - A.** Moist bakery products with surface containing free fat or oil.
 - B.** Moist bakery products with surface containing no free fat or oil.
- VIII.** Dry solids with the surface containing no free fat or oil (no end test required).
- IX.** Dry solids with the surface containing free fat or oil.

TABLE 2—TEST PROCEDURES WITH TIME TEMPERATURE CONDITIONS FOR DETERMINING AMOUNT OF EXTRACTIVES FROM THE FOOD-CONTACT SURFACE OF UNCOATED OR COATED PAPER AND PAPERBOARD, USING SOLVENTS SIMULATING TYPES OF FOODS AND BEVERAGES

Condition of use	Types of food (see table 1)	Food-simulating solvents			
		Water	Heptane †	8 percent alcohol	50 percent alcohol
A. High temperature heat-sterilized (a.e., over 212° F.).	I, IV-B, VII-B...	Time and temperature 250° F., 2 hr.	Time and temperature 150° F., 2 hr.	Time and temperature	Time and temperature
B. Boiling water sterilized	III, IV-A, VII-A... II, VII-B... III, VII-A...	230° F., 2 hr. 212° F., 30 min. 212° F., 30 min.	150° F., 2 hr. 120° F., 30 min.		
C. Hot filled or pasteurized above 150° F.	II, IV-B... III, IV-A...	Fill boiling, cool to 100° F. Fill boiling, cool to 100° F.	120° F., 15 min.		
D. Hot filled or pasteurized below 150° F.	V... II, IV-B, VI-B... III, IV-A... V... VI-A... VI-C...	150° F., 2 hr. 150° F., 2 hr.	120° F., 15 min. 100° F., 30 min. 100° F., 30 min.	150° F., 2 hr.	150° F., 2 hr.
E. Room temperature filled and stored (no thermal treatment in the container).	I, II, IV-B, VI-B, VII-B... III, IV-A, VII-A... V, IX... VI-A... VI-C...	120° F., 24 hr.	70° F., 30 min. 70° F., 30 min.	120° F., 24 hr.	120° F., 24 hr.
F. Refrigerated storage (no thermal treatment in the container).	III, IV-A, VII-A... I, II, IV-B, VI-B, VII-B... VI-A... VI-C...	70° F., 48 hr. 70° F., 48 hr.	70° F., 30 min.	70° F., 48 hr.	70° F., 48 hr.
G. Frozen storage (no thermal treatment in the container).	I, II, IV-B, VII-B... III, VII-A...	70° F., 24 hr. 70° F., 24 hr.	70° F., 30 min.		
H. Frozen or refrigerated storage: Ready-prepared foods intended to be reheated in container at time of use: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Aqueous or oil-in-water emulsion of high- or low-fat. 2. Aqueous, high- or low-free oil or fat. 	I, II, IV-B, VII-B... III, IV-A, VII-A...	212° F., 30 min. 212° F., 30 min.	120° F., 30 min.		